

February 2014





#### Parameters – Water Demand

- Revised design
- Water Requirement
- Total Water Demand
- Total Demand in M3

- = 100,000 Refugees
- = 35 l/p/d
- = 3,500,000 litres
- = 3500 M3



#### Parameters - Water Availability

- Borehole 1 (50m3/hr) = 1100m3/d
- Borehole 2 (60m3/hr) = 1320m3/d
- Total Water Available = 2420m3/d Based on 22 hr/day pumping

Note: Additional water sources required to meet full camp water demand (3,500-2420 = 1080 m3/d (31%) additional needed)



#### **Design Assumptions**

- The current water distribution system in the camp is mainly through water trucking from the two private boreholes outside of but close to the Za'atari camp
- Two Boreholes (BH1 and BH2) inside the camp, which will be treated as the main water provision points with add on trucking (31%) as a temp measure till further resources are added to the system
- The water distribution network to be designed in loops and the loops to be inter connected to counter any stoppage due to borehole break downs
- Design to minimize O&M costs
- Currently Gravity fed distribution system for whole came
- Storage Capacity design includes 1 day storage

#### WASH block data

- The design to include water will be supplied to all 346 existing WASH blocks to water collection and toilet level
- The breakdown for WASH blocks construction is 247 permanent structures and 99 prefab WASH units. The breakdown of WASH blocks on gender basis:
  - 166 Male and 180 Female
  - 52 Wash blocks missing



#### Risks

- Vandalism of structures
- Vandalism of pipes (Leakage and Private connections)
- Water distribution equability
- Contamination
- Hand Over?
- Increased cost



#### **Risks Mitigation**

- Vandalism of structures- To develop ownership and have Limited numbers
- Vandalism of pipes (Leakage and Private connections)- To develop ownership and have Limited Transmission lines
- Inequitable water distribution software and operating regime (Demand Management)
- Contamination Secured distribution system in place- Piped network
- Hand Over Identification of operators and agree on process and clear way forward



#### **Highest points for Central Storage**



#### **Topographic Survey**





#### **District Grids**



#### **Outline Design Options**



#### **Outline Design Option**



## Revised Options considered by the Consultants

- **Option 1:** The Network is supported by combination of ground and elevated water tanks connected to main camp transmission lines
- **Option 2:** Each District to have individual elevated water storage tanks connected to each other through the network rings
- **Option 3:** New camp (District 5,6,7,8,9,10 and 11) to have individual district level combination of ground and elevated water storage tanks connected to each other through the network rings. Old camp to be connected to one central water storage and further connected to the main ring, each district distribution lines can be isolated to cater emergency needs
- **Option 4:** New camp District 5,6,7,8,9,10 and 11 to have individual district level elevated water storage tanks connected to each other through the network rings. Old camp to be connected to one ground level cluster of centrally located T-95, each district distribution lines can be isolated to cater emergency needs



#### **Outline Design Option 1**



### Outline Design Option 1 (New Camp)





### Outline Design Option 1 (Old Camp)



#### **Outline Design Option 2**



#### **Outline Design Option 3**



#### Outline Design Option 3 (Old Camp)



#### **Outline Design Option 4**



#### Outline Design Option 4 (Old Camp)



#### District Network (WaterCAD)



#### **Detailed Engineering Design District 5**



#### SUPPLY SYSTEM OPTIONS ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Item #	Criteria	Weighting of criteria
1	Capital cost	20
2	Risk to program implementation and time frame .	15
3	Ability to effect water conservation	15
4	Ability to prevent water system contamination	20
5	Ability to maximize technical operating efficiency and monitoring	20
6	Ability to respond, test, trace, isolate, monitor and control water distribution during outbreak.	5
7	Ability to minimize loss of supply due to repairs or maintenance.	5



# maintenance

Options		teria for sustainability	%age of Criteria for sustainability	Capital cost		Risk to Programme Implementation and time frames		Ability to effect water conservation		Ability to prevent water system		Abailing tenerating Abailing technical operating		testintionateorihoogate, monitor and control Ability Anemionionise		losseffigueets during to	
				1		2		3		4		5		6		7	
		Cri		Av g	W	Av g	w	Av g	w	Avg	w	Av g	w	Avg	w	A vg	w
1	OXFAM Option 1	231.67	18.12%	1.6 66 7	33. 33 3	2	30	3	50	3	60	2	40	2	12	1	7
2	OXFAM Option 2	313.33	24.51%	4	73	2	35	4	55	3	60	3	53	4	18	4	1 8
3	OXFAM Option 3	336.67	26.34%	3.6 66 7	73. 33 3	3	40	4	65	3	60	3	67	3	17	3	1 5
4	OXFAM Option 4	396.67	31.03%	4.6 66 7	93. 33 3	2	35	4	60	4	80	4	80	5	25	5	2 3
	Total	1278.33															

#### Network Costs

Design Option	Cost (3 Factor)
Outline Design Option 1	JOD 5,405,291
Outline Design Option 2	JOD 4,266,103
Outline Design Option 3	JOD 4,937,423
Outline Design Option 4	JOD 5,309,796



# The End

## **Questions Welcome**