

## Rakhine (NFI &) CCCM Cluster Coordination Meeting

**Date:** Wed 8<sup>th</sup> July, 2015

**Time:** 3:15 PM – 4:05 PM

**Venue:** District Commissioner (DC) Office, District General Administration Department (GAD), Sittwe.

**Participants:** UNHCR CCCM/NFI/IM, DRC, LWF, SCI, UNDP, OCHA, IRC, District Commissioner, Immigration Department, District Medical Department, Fishery Department, Department of Rural Development, District Police Department, District Agriculture Department, and District Education Department (21 participants in total)

Agenda item	Discussion	Action/Actor	Due date
<b>1. Introductory Remarks</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>District Commissioner (DC) and CCCM/NFI cluster coordinator (Cc) chaired the meeting and welcomed to the participants.</li> <li>Cc briefly explained about meeting agenda points.</li> </ul>		
<b>2. Dependency mind set.</b> <b>a. Targeted NFI distribution.</b>  <b>b. Standard and level of services to camps.</b>  <b>c. Government guidance on standard.</b> <b>(i) Approval process for new camp facilities</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cc explains that IDPs always have expectations to get assistances which is not sustainable for them and now it is time to find the way to reduce their dependency mind set as DC has already raised this issue.</li> <li>Cc explains that CCCM/NFI cluster has some challenges/difficulties to implement targeted NFI distribution in the camps because CMCs and all IDPs think/believe that every IDP should title for every single assistance. On the other hand, cluster organizes meetings and explains about targeted NFI distribution for people with specific needs to CMCs and IDPs but IDPs continuously demand for equal assistances.</li> <li>DC agrees that according to IDPs nature, IDPs think that they should get every NFI. DC suggests to send/give clear message (for what purpose, to which targeted population) to IDPs before agencies start NFI distribution.</li> <li>Cc requests and will appreciate if government focal points/departments can provide appropriate level and standard of services which should be provided to camps such as education, health, WASH, etc. ....to international communities which would be valuable and useful for managing their resources.</li> <li>DC answers that food, education, health, WASH and shelter maintenance are still needed in the camps and government also providing those assistances to some camps.</li> <li>DC also suggests to agencies to give the clear message to IDPs that the humanitarian assistances are not for long term and will stop someday.</li> <li>Cc requests to government focal points/departments to provide guidance on standard or providing assistances based on their respective camp needs. In some cases, CMCs always accept agencies' offers without thinking whether it is their needs or not which shows CMCs have dependency and needs to change their mind set. At this stage, it is important that agencies need government focal points/departments guidance, comments and decisions regarding to their assistances.</li> <li>DC ensures that government focal points/departments will provide some guidance whenever it is needed and agencies can go and see them (respective focal points) whenever they have problems/issues to discuss.</li> </ul>		

<p><b>d. Fees and compensation for facility and land use.</b></p> <p><b>e. Building community relationships prior to relocation.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cc mentions that there are some demands about fees and compensation for use of facility and land use by some IDPs. Cc asks whether there is government process for land compensation and facilities.</li> <li>• DC explains that government had provided land compensation fees to land owner for one year. For the time being, government is planning for IDPs' relocation. After relocation process is done, government will proceed for full payment to landowners.</li> <li>• Cc also points out that to deal with the relationship between the IDP communities and the local (new) communities where IDPs are properly going to relocate, it is now the time to build the relationship for them and for their dependency mind set.</li> <li>• Concerning community relationships, DC explains that IDP camps in Pauk Taw, Zone one, and Rathedaung townships are returned/relocated to their place of origins and he has no comment for that.</li> <li>• DC agrees that it will be needed to discuss if IDPs are going to relocate to new places.</li> <li>• To avoid IDPs' dependency to agencies, DC suggests to agencies that agencies should give clear message or explain about their implementation plans/activities to IDPs and same as should have regular coordination with government. DC points out Ah Nauk Ywe camp incentive workers issue of last year where there were weaknesses on community (CMCs) awareness to agencies' activities, no clear message in place, and less coordination with government.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>3. Set Yone Su - 1</b></p> <p><b>a. Government services available in camps.</b></p> <p><b>b. Support gaps requiring international interventions.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cc asks about Set Yone Su – 1 situation that what services will provide to those people from ward or township administration or government and what gaps exist that international community to continue to support.</li> <li>• DC answers that Transportation and Communication Minister (chairman of shelter cluster) and Department of Rural Development (vice shelter chairperson) have main responsible for that and district administration department is helping them whenever there are requests. For further discussions, DC refers to see Transport Minister.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>4. Government Registration Process – Sittwe</b></p> <p><b>a. Update by government.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DC updates that they finish data collection process and they are still in process of checking the numbers because they found some changes (some IDP numbers are increased in some camps and some are decreased in some camps) which they also need to follow up physically the reasons.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>5. Livelihoods Planning</b></p> <p><b>a. Needs survey in Sittwe camps.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cc shares the information to DC that some livelihood programs are started in Zone one by some agencies. Though Sittwe is not their first interest unfortunately, but agencies are trying to be ready to provide/help a single type of support in Sittwe.</li> <li>• As a first step, survey and data collection need to be done not only in all the camps but also in some villages where IDPs are supposed to relocate.</li> <li>• Cc shares that there two organization who interest in conducting the survey, they are UNDP who responsible for Early Recovery Sector and FAO who is doing as part of Food Security Sector. Cc explains that</li> </ul>		

<b>b. Opportunity for training support from government personnel.</b>	<p>they will share the information to government when they finish the survey to understand the situation and to get guidance, feedbacks and comments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cc explains that there is one challenge to get the technical capacity to find out the needs for livelihoods developments. That is why, Cc requests whether government personnel can give their efforts as technical supports or not.</li> <li>• DC suggests to discuss only when we the interventions come.</li> </ul>		
<b>6. AOB</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LWF asks that if they want to discuss about Set Yone Su – 1, to whom they have to go and see.</li> <li>• DC replies that they can discuss with township administrator as TA is chairperson of township CMC.</li> </ul>		
<b>7. Date/Place/Time for next meeting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• UNHCR will share for further information.</li> </ul>		ASAP.

**Documents shared in hard copy with the participants at the meeting or in soft copy to all Cluster partners:**

- NFI/CCCM cluster meeting agenda to all participants.