Refugee Access to Livelihoods and Housing, Land, and Property

May 2019 **UGANDA**

CONTEXT

Uganda is currently hosting the largest number of refugees in the region with over 1.2 million refugees and asylum seekers, primarily from South Sudan, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), and Burundi. Recently arrived refugees have joined Rwandese, Somali, and other refugees that have lived in Uganda for decades. Due to the large number of refugees, the range of countries of origin, and the varying lengths of displacement, there are key information gaps on how access to or lack of housing, land, and property (HLP) for refugees impact their potential for sustainable livelihoods. In partnership with Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC), REACH conducted an assessment from 3 December 2018 to 15 March 2019 2019 to collect baseline data on refugees' access to livelihoods and HLP. The assessment aims to provide a better understanding of the conditions of refugee access to livelihoods and HLP, the linkages, and other factors influencing sustainable livelihoods and potential movement within Uganda.

METHODOLOGY

A mixed methods approach was used, driven by a household-level structured survey, semi-structured key informant interviews (KIIs) and focus group discussions (FGDs). The quantitative household-level survey produced results representative for the refugee population in 30 refugee settlements (considered as 25 due to five combined settlements in Adjumani) across 11 districts, as well as select divisions of Kampala (Makindye, Kampala Central, and Kawempe) with a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error at the settlement level for all guestions asked to the entire sample size. A total of 3,165 households were interviewed for this assessments. All questions referring to a subset are footnoted.

KIIs and FGDs were used to triangulate the data and provide context to quantitative findings. KIIs targeted settlement leadership, including Office of the Prime Minister (OPM) commandants and UNHCR staff, refugee welfare committee leaders, district local government officials, and key partners working in relevant sectors. Semi-structured FGDs were stratified by gender and conducted with refugee and host community groups in each location.

Findings in this factsheet are representative of refugee households living in 3 divisions of Kampala. A total of 114 refugee households were interviewed in Kawempe

POPULATION PROFILE OF REFUGEES IN UGANDA

Demographics¹



Female-headed households (HHs): 67%

Average household size:


Displacement demographics¹

Top countries of origin:1


- South Sudan (65%)
- DRC (27%)
- Burundi (3%)
- Somalia (2%)

BRIEF OVERVIEW OF KAWEMPE DIVISION

Kawempe is located on the northwestern edge of Kampala. It is bordered by Nabweru to the north, Kisaasi to the east, Bwaise to the south, Kazo to the southwest and Nansana in Wakiso District to the west. The road distance between Kampala's central business district and Kawempe is approximately 8.5 kilometres.



HHS WITH VULNERABLE MEMBERS



HHs reporting member with persons with specific needs (PSN) card: 5%

HHs reporting that some members are not registered as refugees:



TOP 3 HLP CHALLENGES²

Housing ³	90%
Property	10%
Land accessed for housing	5%

¹ Figures based on United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and Office of the Prime Minister (OPM) population figures updated as of April 2019.

³Respondents could answer more than one option for this question.







²This question was only asked to the 18% of respondents facing HLP challenges. The level of confidence may thus be lower than 95%.

HOUSING

UGANDA

KAMPALA

KAWEMPE DIVISION

HHs perceiving themselves at risk of eviction:

35%

TENURE ARRANGEMENTS

% of HHs by shelter tenure:



87% of HHs have documentation proving ownership or legal occupancy of shelter.

Of those, the most commonly reported types of documentation are:³

Rental receipt	73%
Other receipt	14%
Rental agreement	10%

Of the 92% of HHs who reported their renting costs:

Average monthly rent: 412,466 UGX

Of those, proportion of income spent on rent:

Around half	40%
More than half	36%
Less than half	17%

% of renting HHs by person they are paying the rent to:3

Host community household	97%
Other refugee household	2%
Other	1%

Average number of individuals per room: 6

SHELTER CHALLENGES


18% of HHs reported shelter damage. Of those, the most commonly reported parts of the shelter damaged are:³

Damage to windows and/or doors	48%
Damage to wall material	33%
Damage to roof	29%

76% of HHs reported not being able to conduct repairs. Of those, the most commonly reported reasons include:³

No money for repair	63%
Waiting for landlord to repair shelter	50%

% of HHs reporting members do not feel safe in their current shelter:




16% of HHs reported having sometimes, often or always visible wastewater in the vicinity (30 meters or less) of their accommodation in the 30 days prior to data collection

LAND

ACCESS TO LAND

% of HHs reporting:3



Method of accessing land around shelter plot:



85% Renting to access someone else's land

5% Accessing someone else's land for free

5% The household owns the land

3% The household uses land where they have not been granted access

2% Respondents chose not to answer







HLP Challenges

18% of HHs reported facing HLP challenges. Of those:

Most commonly reported areas of HLP issues (% of HLP issues):³



Most commonly reported types of HLP issues (% of HLP issues):³



HLP ISSUE RESOLUTION

12% of the 22 HLP issues reported were attempted to be resolved by the HHs

Out of the HLP issues that HHs attempted to resolve, the following mechanisms were used:3

LC1 chairperson/local gov official	40%
Family	33%
Local council court	20%

11% of the HLP issues reported were resolved

PROPERTY

75% of HHs reported having no property at all. Out of the 25% who do:

HHs with income-generating property:

13%

Most commonly reported type of property:3



90% of property items are owned by one owner.

Proportion of those by sex of owner:



71% of HHs that own property/assets reported having documentation proving ownership or legal access


% of HHs by number of income-generating property items reportedly owned:



87% Zero income-generating items13% One income-generating item0% Two income-generating items0% Three income-generating items

ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Most commonly reported sources and types of information HHs access:³



86% of HHs reported trusting the information they

Most commonly reported information needs of HHs:³







LIVELIHOODS



HHs reporting perceived livelihoods challenges:

98%

LIVELIHOODS SOURCES

Out of the 80% of HHs who reported having a source of income, the most commonly reported sources are:³

Remittances	37%
Street trade	13%
Sales	9%

Most commonly reported livelihood groups HH members participate in:³

Business association 11%
Women's group 7%
Village Savings and Loan Associations 4%

Most commonly reported livelihoods challenges:3

Lack of work opportunities	73%
Lack of credit to start/continue a business	39%
Low wages	27%

SKILLS AND ASPIRATIONS

85% of HHs have men of working age and 94% of HHs have women of working age. Of those:

Most commonly reported livelihoods skills gained in country of origin:³

Driving	19%	Domestic work in the home	47%
Computer	18%	Cook	19%
Casual labour	13%	Hairdressing	12%


Most commonly reported livelihoods aspirations:3

1	•		
Computer	34%	NGO	22%
Driving	19%	Sales	20%
NGO	19%	Computer	19%

MOVEMENTS WITHIN UGANDA

PAST MOVEMENTS

% of HHs that had at least one member move in the year prior to data collection:



Of those:

Most commonly reported reasons for moving:3

Joining other family members	50%
Security	33%
Presence of education services	17%

100% of the HHs with at least one member who moved reported that they receive resources from the member that moved

MOVEMENT INTENTIONS

% of HHs that have at least one member planning to move in the 6 months following data collection:



Of those:

Most commonly reported reasons for planned movement:3

Presence of shelter	50%
Presence of education services	33%
Presence of food distribution	22%





