Refugee Access to Livelihoods and Housing, Land, and Property

May 2019 **UGANDA**

CONTEXT

Uganda is currently hosting the largest number of refugees in the region with over 1.2 million refugees and asylum seekers, primarily from South Sudan, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), and Burundi.1 Recently arrived refugees have joined Rwandese. Somali, and other refugees that have lived in Uganda for decades. Due to the large number of refugees, the range of countries of origin, and the varying lengths of displacement, there are key information gaps on how access to or lack of housing, land, and property (HLP) for refugees impact their potential for sustainable livelihoods. In partnership with Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC), REACH conducted an assessment from 3 December 2018 to 15 March 2019 to collect baseline data on refugees' access to livelihoods and HLP. The assessment aims to provide a better understanding of the conditions of refugee access to livelihoods and HLP. the linkages, and other factors influencing sustainable livelihoods and potential movement within Uganda.

METHODOLOGY


A mixed methods approach was used, driven by a household-level structured survey, semi-structured key informant interviews (KIIs) and focus group discussions (FGDs). The quantitative household-level survey produced results representative for the refugee population in 30 refugee settlements (considered as 25 due to five combined settlements in Adjumani) across 11 districts, as well as select divisions of Kampala (Makindye, Kampala Central, and Kawempe) with a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error at the settlement level for all questions asked to the entire sample size. A total of 3,165 households were interviewed for this assessment. All questions referring to a subset are footnoted.

KIIs and FGDs were used to triangulate the data and provide context to quantitative findings. KIIs targeted settlement leadership, including Office of the Prime Minister (OPM) commandants and UNHCR staff, refugee welfare committee leaders, district local government officials, and key partners working in relevant sectors. Semi-structured FGDs were stratified by gender and conducted with refugee and host community groups in each location.

Findings in this factsheet are representative of refugee households living in Elema refugee settlement. A total of 59 refugee households were interviewed in Elema.

POPULATION PROFILE OF REFUGEES IN UGANDA





Female-headed households (HHs): 67%

Average household size:


Displacement demographic

Top countries of origin:1

- South Sudan (65%)
 - DRC (27%)
- Burundi (3%)
- Somalia (2%)

BRIEF OVERVIEW OF ELEMA IN ADJUMANI DISTRICT

Elema was established in 1992, and is entirely comprised of refugees from the Kuku tribe of South Sudan. Following a UNHCR-led repatriation of South Sudanese refugees in 2008 from Uganda, the Kuku ethnic group in Elema declined to be repatriated. They have as a community settled and integrated well with the mainly Madi people in the host community.



27% 76% Disabled members 22% Pregnant / lactating women Female-headed HHs 75%

HHs reporting member with persons with specific needs (PSN) card: 53%

HHs reporting that some members are not registered as refugees:



TOP 3 HLP CHALLENGES²

Property ³	46%
Housing	24%
Land accessed for cultivation	20%

¹ Figures based on United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and Office of the Prime Minister (OPM)population figures updated as of April 2019.

³ Respondents could answer more than one option for this question.







This question was only asked to the 69% of respondents facing HLP challenges. The level of confidence may thus be lower than 95%.

HOUSING

UGANDA

DISTRICT: ADJUMANI

SETTLEMENT: ELEMA

HHs perceiving themselves at risk of eviction:

100%

TENURE ARRANGEMENTS

% of HHs by shelter tenure:



81% Owned by the head of the household

12% Owned by the spouse

5% Owned jointly between household members

2% Rented

0% of HHs have documentation proving ownership or legal occupancy of shelter.

% of HHs by methods of shelter acquisition:

Construct	100%
Acquire	0%

Of the 100% of HHs that reported constructing their shelter, most commonly reported ways of acquiring materials:³

Nature	88%
Purchased	71%
Friend	7%

SHELTER CHALLENGES


86% of HHs reported shelter damage. Of those, the most commonly reported parts of the shelter damaged are:³

Damage to roof	94%
Damage to windows and/or doors	8%
Damage to wall material	6%

39% of HHs reported not being able to conduct repairs. Of those, the most commonly reported reasons include:³




% of HHs reporting members do not feel safe in their current shelter:



LAND

ACCESS TO LAND

% of HH reporting:3



USE OF LAND FOR CULTIVATION

98% of HHs reported using their shelter plot for cultivation. Of those, the following reported on sufficiency of the land to provide food for the HH in the most recent harvest.



Method of accessing land around shelter plot:



39% of HHs reported accessing land outside their shelter. Of those, the most commonly reported methods of accessing that land are:³

Renting to access someone else's land	44%
Accessing someone else's land for free	35%
OPM/UNHCR allocation	22%

FORMAL AGREEMENTS

Of the 3% that access land around shelter plot through renting, free access, or ownership, 0% of HHs that have a formal agreement to access the land

Of the 79% of land accessed outside of shelter plot through renting, free access, or ownership, 0% are accessed through formal agreement

⁴ The Government of Uganda allocates land for residential and agricultural purposes, to refugees, irrespective of ethnicity and origin. This is done via OPM with the support of UNHCR.







HLP Challenges

UGANDA

DISTRICT: ADJUMANI

SETTLEMENT: ELEMA

69% of HHs reported facing HLP challenges. Of those:

Most commonly reported areas of HLP issues (% of HLP issues):3



Most commonly reported types of HLP issues (% of HLP issues):3

Theft	33%
Destruction	21%
Plot given was unusable	19%

HLP ISSUE RESOLUTION

49% of the 42 HLP issues reported were attempted to be resolved by the household

Out of the HLP issues that HHs attempted to resolve, the following mechanisms were used:3

Refugee Welfare Committee	55%
Help desk	29%
LC1 chairperson/local gov official	26%

39% of the HLP issues reported were resolved

PROPERTY

17% of HHs reported having no property at all. Out of the 83% who do:

HHs with income-generating property:

Most commonly reported type of property:



92% of property items are owned by one owner

Proportion of those by sex of owner:



12% of HHs that own property/assets reported having documentation proving ownership or legal access


% of HHs owning number of income-generating property items:



56% Zero income-generating items 32% One income-generating item 12% Two income-generating items

ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Most commonly reported sources and types of information HHs access:3



98% of HHs reported trusting the information they

Most commonly reported information needs of HHs by area:3

Food distribution	58%
Availability of services	53%
Safety	29%





LIVELIHOODS

UGANDA

DISTRICT: ADJUMANI

SETTLEMENT: ELEMA

HHs reporting perceived livelihoods challenges:

100%

LIVELIHOODS SOURCES

Out of the 100% of HHs who reported having a source of income, the most commonly reported sources are:³



Most commonly reported livelihood groups HH members participate in:³



Most commonly reported livelihoods challenges:3



SKILLS AND ASPIRATIONS

75% of HHs have men of working age and 95% of HHs have women of working age. Of those:

Most commonly reported livelihoods skills gained in country of origin:³

•		<u>.</u>	
T	•		
Farming	71%	Domestic work in the home	57%
Domestic work in the home	23%	Farming	54%
Livestock	21%	Street trade	20%

Most commonly reported livelihoods aspirations:³

Ť			
Farming	36%	Farming	30%
Mechanic	23%	Street trade	27%
Livestock	21%	: Tailoring	25%

MOVEMENTS WITHIN UGANDA

PAST MOVEMENTS

% of HHs that had at least one member move in the year prior to data collection:



Of those:

Types of destinations:



Most commonly reported reasons for moving:3

Presence of employment opportunities	44%
Presence of education services	33%
Joining other family members	22%

40% of the HHs with at least one member who moved reported that they receive resources from the member that moved

MOVEMENT INTENTIONS

% of HHs that have at least one member planning to move in the 6 months following data collection:



Of those:

Types of destinations:



Most commonly reported reasons for planned movement:³

Presence of education services	75%
Possibility of buying land	25%





