



National Protection Working Group Meeting

Time & Location	25 th of July, 2018, UNHCR Ankara
Chaired by	Sander van Niekerk – Senior Inter-Agency Protection Coordination Officer
Participants	Concern, UNHCR, AAR Japan, WFP, UNDP, IMC, ASAM, UNICEF, IOM, TRC, IOM
Meeting Agenda	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- UNHCR verification update- 3RP indicator analysis- National Protection Guidance- Consultation outcomes: Inter-Agency Referral Form- AOB

Agenda Point	Discussion
Verification Exercise	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- UNHCR provided a presentation on the current state of affairs vis-à-vis the verification exercise – see attached presentation for details- On de-activations of the TPID, it was stressed by DGMM that this does not entail loss of TP status and that re-activation is possible by approaching PDMMs. UNHCR is supporting in the re-activation process in Ankara, Konya, Maras, Koceli, Malatys, Osmaniye- Next year, efforts will be exerted to mainstream the presence of protection desks in PDMMs
3RP indicator analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- An update/analysis was provided on 3RP protection indicators – see attached presentation- Discussions focused on underachievement and/or over-achievement of indicators set at the beginning of the year. A plenary brainstorm took place to ascertain possible bottlenecks hampering reaching targets.



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- A myriad of reasons were listed as possible factors, including lack of funding for certain activities, seasonal events, elections, potential impact of MoFSP circular on HH visits (see points under AOB), interpretation of indicators as well as some indicators attempting to combine several activities into one.- As the 3RP planning process will commence in September, this will be an opportunity to revise and fine-tune the overall strategy and the indicators to measure progress/impact. Prior to the official planning process launch, bilateral meetings will take place with reporting agencies to gauge obstacles and suggestions for improving indicators/reporting. Feedback on interpretations of indicators will be used to update indicator guidance notes.
National Protection Guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- A brief refresher presentation was given on the process of finalizing a central-level overarching protection guidance for delivery of protection services at the individual level- A draft note will be shared with PWG members for review
IA referral form	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- After a consultative round of comments on the current inter-agency form, a final draft was presented to PWG members- Discussions focused on clarifying certain sections as well as next steps, in particularly vis-à-vis governmental institutions. In addition, it was discussed how to measure impact and quantify its future use with a view to distill lessons learned/best practices- PWG members were requested to provide any last burning comments asap- Next steps consist of producing training modules and agreeing on country-wide training schedule/roll-out
AOB	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- MOFSP monitoring.<ul style="list-style-type: none">o PWG members discussed how to institute a nation-wide system to monitor the potential impact of the January MOFSP circular on household visits.o Thus far, ad-hoc and anecdotal information is being received on how, at the local level, this circular is being implemented and what this means for operational agencies on the groundo Agreement was reached on devising a simple online questionnaire that partners can fill in on a bi-monthly basis



- Q2 dashboard

- PWG members were requested to provide feedback for the Q2 dashboard, one of the key sector external documents
- While the dashboard does not go into operational details per agency/region, it does try to provide a broad overview of the current sectoral issues, trends, bottlenecks, etc.