### Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Adjumani District Uganda, August, 2018

### Background & Methodology

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

1,545 surveys were conducted in Adjumani District between 24 April and 9 June 2018.

### **Demographics**

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:





#### of refusee UUs that have lived in the actilement for

% of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:					
2 <mark>% 31%</mark>	<6 months	7 - 11 mor	nths		
2% 65%	1 - 2 years	> 2 year	'S		
% of individuals b	by age group:				
	🛉 Host co	mmunity	_ <b>∱</b> → F	Refugees	
Girls (0-17)		29%		34%	
Boys (0-17)		33%		37%	
Adult females (18-	-59)	18%		16%	
Adult males (18-5	9) 💻	17%		9%	
Elderly females (6	i0+) I	2%	1.1	3%	
Elderly males (60-	+)	1%	I.	1%	
Average HH size:	<sup>2</sup> 7.6 memb	bers	7.6 m	embers	
<b>A</b>		46 - 1111			

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



#### Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Food	63%	Food	61%
Education	59%	Education	58%
Health and nutrition	47%	Shelter	43%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.



### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	T	<b>///</b> →
Unaccompanied or separated children	52%	57%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	26%	33%
Individuals with disabilities	31%	32%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	56%	40%

97% of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.4

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:

10%

90%

Yes

No



50% of the host community HHs and 51% of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

#### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns: . ......

	W I	××
SGBV	55%	80%
Child protection	57%	75%
Psycho-social	44%	64%

24% of the host community HHs and 46% of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

1) OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal. 2) The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.

4) Refugees are registered in settlements by Uganda's Office of the Prime Minister (OPM).







### Livelihoods & Environment

Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	87%	Remittances	34%
Casual labour	39%	Small business	30%
Selling natural resource	39%	Casual labour	26%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	96%	Free through OPM	69%
Free access	3%	Free access	15%
Rents the land	1%	Rents the land	13%

**72%** of refugee HHs and **21%** of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

**3%** of refugee HHs and **1%** of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:<sup>1</sup>

👖 Host community		🖍 Refugees	
Lack of tools	67%	Lack of tools	43%
Lack of seeds	33%	Lack of seeds	32%
Poor cultivating season	33%	Poor cultivating season	33%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Spent savings	56%	Sold assistance	41%
Borrowed money	42%	Spent savings	27%
Sold assets	26%	Borrowed money	25%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:

Yes

No



41%



Λ→ Refugees

95% Yes 5% No

**23%** of refugee HHs and **69%** of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



% of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

	Host community	🔥 Refugees
Firewood	89%	87%
Charcoal	11%	12%

**73%** of refugee HHs and **69%** of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.<sup>2</sup>

### $\square$ Education

**5%** of refugee HHs with school-aged children and **12%** of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host community			Refugees	
Ť	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	Ť
36%	35%	3 - 5	12%	12%
4%	2%	6 - 12	2%	2%
9%	8%	13 - 18	4%	7%

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type:

	Host community		🔨 Ref	ugees
ECD		13%		21%
Primary		67%		68%
Secondary		9%	•	6%
Other <sup>3</sup>		0%		0%
Not enrolled		12%	•	5%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
High costs	51%	The child is too young	43%
The school is too far	<b>29%</b>	High costs	28%
The child is too young	27%	New arrival	7%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, **98%** of refugee households mentioned **tuition** while **100%** of the host community HHs reported **tuition** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training



## Health & Nutrition

Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Malaria	63%	Malaria	70%
Diarrhoea	26%	Diarrhoea	23%
Respiratory infection	14%	Respiratory infection	19%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **38%** of refugee HHs and **52%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

🛉 Host community		📌 Refugees	
No medicine available	61%	No medicine available	57%
Distance	38%	High cost of medicine	23%
High cost of medicine	32%	Distance	22%

6% of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

# % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	T	<b>∧</b> →
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	<b>98%</b>	96%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	92%	91%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	88%	89%

.

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination		95%
Measles vaccination	76%	78%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community

5.6

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

3.5

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.

### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Borehole	89%	Borehole	78%
Public tap	5%	Public tap	21%
Surface water	4%	Surface water	1%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	81%	16% <mark>3%</mark>	> 15 litres
 ∱→ Refugees	55%	<u>31%</u> 14%	10 - 15 litres < 10 litres

Average litres of water/person/day is **18** for refugee HHs and **21** for the host community HHs.

**73%** of refugee HHs and **80%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

## Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Fetch from further point	<b>78%</b>	Use less for bathing	55%
Use less for bathing	24%	Fetch from further point	54%
Use non-drinking water	2%	Use less for drinking	9%

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Distance		20%		6%
Queuing		13%		30%
Distance and queuing		10%		13%
None		57%		50%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**35%** of the refugee HHs and **21%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

## Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees
Soap is too expensive	45%	Soap is too expensive 84%
Other	24%	They prefer a substitute 10%
Market is too far away	16%	Waiting for distribution 3%

6 )The question was asked to HHs that reported not having enough water during the 7 days prior to data collection









### **Food Assistance**

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Own production	62%	Food distribution	70%
Bought with cash	38%	Bought with cash	25%
		Own production	2%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied less on humanitarian aid (65%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (71%).<sup>1</sup>

% of HHs	with	the	following	Food	Consumption	Scores
(FC3).=						



HH average food consumption score:

👖 Host community

🔨 Refugees

46

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

51

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	74%	76%	80%	83%
Borderline	26%	24%	19%	16%
Poor	0%	0%	1%	1%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:

	🛉 Host community 📗		🖈 Refugees	
Reduce # meals / day		22%		51%
Limit meal size		22%		40%
Buy cheaper food		47%		35%
Debt/Borrowing		5%		16%
Skip days of eating	1.1	3%	1.1	5%
Only children eat		0%	1.0	5%
Exchange food	•	6%		8%
None		28%		10%

### Shelter & NFIs

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



**15%** of the host community HHs and **16%** of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

🛉 Host community		<b>Arguna Refugees</b> Bedding	
Bedding	70%	Bedding	76%
Water storage	70%	Mosquito nets	60%
Kitchen tools	56%	Water storage	48%

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org

www.reachresourcecentre.info



