Refugee Access to Livelihoods and Housing, Land, and Property

May 2019 UGANDA

CONTEXT

Uganda is currently hosting the largest number of refugees in the region with over 1.2 million refugees and asylum seekers, primarily from South Sudan, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), and Burundi.¹ Recently arrived refugees have joined Rwandese, Somali, and other refugees that have lived in Uganda for decades. Due to the large number of refugees, the range of countries of origin, and the varying lengths of displacement, there are key information gaps on how access to or lack of housing, land, and property (HLP) for refugees impact their potential for sustainable livelihoods. In partnership with Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC), REACH conducted an assessment from 3 December 2018 to 15 March 2019 to collect baseline data on refugees' access to livelihoods and HLP. The assessment aims to provide a better understanding of the conditions of refugee access to livelihoods and HLP, the linkages, and other factors influencing sustainable livelihoods and potential movement within Uganda.

METHODOLOGY

A mixed methods approach was used, driven by a household-level structured survey, semi-structured key informant interviews (KIIs) and focus group discussions (FGDs). The quantitative household-level survey produced results representative for the refugee population in 30 refugee settlements (considered as 25 due to five combined settlements in Adjumani) across 11 districts, as well as select divisions of Kampala (Makindye, Kampala Central, and Kawempe) with a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error at the settlement level for all questions asked to the entire sample size. A total of 3,165 households were interviewed for this assessment. All questions referring to a subset are footnoted.

KIIs and FGDs were used to triangulate the data and provide context to quantitative findings. KIIs targeted settlement leadership, including Office of the Prime Minister (OPM) commandants and UNHCR staff, refugee welfare committee leaders, district local government officials, and key partners working in relevant sectors. Semi-structured FGDs were stratified by gender and conducted with refugee and host community groups in each location.

Findings in this factsheet are representative of refugee households living in Lobule refugee settlement. A total of 106 refugee households were interviewed in Lobule.

POPULATION PROFILE OF REFUGEES IN UGANDA

Demographics¹



Female-headed households (HHs): 67%

Average household size:


Displacement demographic

Top countries of origin:1

- South Sudan (65%) 1
 - DRC (27%) 2
 - Burundi (3%) 3
 - Somalia (2%) 4

BRIEF OVERVIEW OF LOBULE IN KOBOKO DISTRICT

Lobule refugee settlement was established in September 2013 and hosts over 4,600 refugees from DRC fleeing the insurgency in their country. Refugees are settled in two zones (A and B), with each hosting four villages.



¹ Figures based on United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and Office of the Prime Minister (OPM)population figures updated as of April 2019. ²This question was only asked to the 34% of respondents facing HLP challenges. The level of confidence may thus be lower than 95%. ³ Respondents could answer more than one option for this question.

NORWEGIAN REFUGEE COUNCIL

NRC



HOUSING

SETTLEMENT: LOBULE

TENURE ARRANGEMENTS

% of HHs by shelter tenure:



59% Owned by the head of the household40% Owned jointly between household members1% Owned by the spouse

0% of HHs have documentation proving ownership or legal occupancy of shelter.

% of HHs by methods of shelter acquisition:

Construct	99%
Acquire	1%

Of the 99% of HHs that reported constructing their shelter, most commonly reported ways of acquiring materials:³




SHELTER CHALLENGES

52% of HHs reported shelter damage. Of those, the most commonly reported parts of the shelter damaged are:³

Damage to roof	91%
Damage to windows and/or doors	18%
Damage to floor	13%

20% of HHs reported not being able to conduct repairs. Of those, the most commonly reported reasons include:³



LAND

USE OF LAND FOR CULTIVATION

ACCESS TO LAND

% of HH reporting:³

Accessing land where shelter is located	100%
Accessing land in a separate plot	12%

Method of accessing land around shelter plot:


0

99% OPM/UNHCR allocation⁴1% Renting to access someone else's land

12% of HHs reported accessing land outside their shelter. Of those, the most commonly reported methods of accessing that land are:³

Renting to access someone else's land	85%
Accessing someone else's land for free	15%
Other	 8%

76% of HHs reported using their shelter plot for cultivation. Of those, the following reported on sufficiency of the land to provide food for the HH in the most recent harvest.



FORMAL AGREEMENTS

Of the 1% that access land around shelter plot through renting, free access, or ownership, 0% of HHs that have a formal agreement to access the land

> Informing more effective humanitarian action

Of the 100% of land accessed outside of shelter plot through renting, free access, or ownership, 14% are accessed through formal agreement

REA

⁴ The Government of Uganda allocates land for residential and agricultural purposes, to refugees, irrespective of ethnicity and origin. This is done via OPM with the support of UNHCR.

NRC

NORWEGIAN

REFUGEE COUNCIL

HLP Challenges

34% of HHs reported facing HLP challenges. Of those:

Most commonly reported areas of HLP issues (% of HLP issues):³

Property Land accessed for cultivation Housing 39% 36% 36%

Most commonly reported types of HLP issues (% of HLP issues):³

Plot given was unusable Theft Destruction

		38%
		27%
		19%

HLP ISSUE RESOLUTION

29% of the 48 HLP issues reported were attempted to be resolved by the household

Out of the HLP issues that HHs attempted to resolve, the following mechanisms were used:³

Refugee Welfare Committee	88%
Help desk	52%
NGO	24%

30% of the HLP issues reported were resolved

PROPERTY

13% of HHs reported having no property at all. Out of the **87%** who do:

HHs with income-generating 68%

Most commonly reported type of property:



79% of property items are owned by one owner. Proportion of those by sex of

59% Female 41% Male

8% of HHs that own property/assets reported having documentation proving ownership or legal access

% of HHs owning number of income-generating property items:



32% Zero income-generating items
58% One income-generating item
9% Two income-generating items
1% Three income-generating items

ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Most commonly reported sources and types of information HHs access:³

Source	Refugee Welfare Committee NGO OPM/UNHCR		78% 54% 34%
Type of information	Safety Food distribution Registration	Ę	54% 51% 35%

98% of HHs reported trusting the information they receive

Most commonly reported information needs of HHs by area: $\ensuremath{^3}$

REACH Informing more effective humanitarian action

Safety	37%
Food distribution	32%
General information about housing	32%

NORWEGIAN REFUGEE COUNCIL

NRC

owner:

LIVELIHOODS

UGANDA DISTRICT: KOBOKO SETTLEMENT: LOBULE

HHs reporting perceived livelihoods challenges:

LIVELIHOODS SOURCES

Out of the 98% of HHs who reported having a source of income, the most commonly reported sources are:³

Cash assistance	79%
Livestock	25%
Farming	19%

Most commonly reported livelihood groups HH members participate in:³

Village Savings and Loan Associations		74%
Farmers' group		18%
Livestock association	1	4%

Most commonly reported livelihoods challenges:³

Lack of work opportunities	
Lack of credit to start/continue a business	
Lack of skills/qualifications	

53%
49%
42%

SKILLS AND ASPIRATIONS


77% of HHs have men of working age and 91% of HHs have women of working age. Of those:

98%

Most commonly reported livelihoods skills gained in country of origin:³

Ť		†	
Farming	65%	Farming	50%
Livestock	24%	Livestock	22%
Carpenter	17%	Domestic work in the home	18%

Most commonly reported livelihoods aspirations:³



MOVEMENTS WITHIN UGANDA

PAST MOVEMENTS

% of HHs that had at least one member move in the year prior to data collection:

Of those:

Types of destinations:



rural area another site in same refugee settlement

11%

Most commonly reported reasons for moving:³

Presence of health services Presence of education services Access markets to buy or sell food/goods

58%
50%
42%

60% of the HHs with at least one member who moved reported that they receive resources from the member that moved

MOVEMENT INTENTIONS

% of HHs that have at least one member planning to move in the 6 months following data collection:

Of those:

Types of destinations:



Most commonly reported reasons for planned movement:³

Presence of education services	55%
Presence of health services	46%
Access markets to buy or sell food/goods	27%

NRC NORWEGIAN REFUGEE COUNCIL

REACH Informing more effective humanitarian action

10%