



RAPID ASSESSMENT FOR CASH FEASIBILITY

Jordan, April 2020

1. Key findings

- The lockdown has had a dramatic impact on Jordanian and refugee households' livelihoods, with over 90% of respondents reporting that no one in their family has worked since the start of the lockdown.
- Lack of income is reportedly compounded by lack of assistance: of the few household respondents that reported receiving aid before the lockdown, only a small minority of them was able to receive it since the start of the COVID 19 crisis. The percentage of households reporting not having received assistance in the three months preceding the assessment is unusually high, especially considering that interviewees constitute the most vulnerable segment on Caritas Jordan's database. It is possible that interviewees preferred not to disclose being a recipient of regular assistance as they feared being excluded from COVID-19 funding.
- The majority of respondents (both refugees and Jordanians) reported rent, food, debt repayment, utilities and health services as their most urgent needs. With 62% of Jordanian respondents identifying rent as the second most urgent priority, the assumption that Jordanians generally own their houses does not seem to hold true for Jordanian interviewees with high CARI and Debt per Capita scores consulted for this assessment. This should be taken into account when calculating amounts of assistance for extremely vulnerable Jordanian households.
- Markets are overall functional, with some issues related to goods availability and prices:
 - About two thirds of respondents in all governorates reported having been able to access a
 market in the weeks following the lockdown. Lack of income was listed as one of the main
 reasons for lack of access, followed by fear of going outside and physical restrictions due to
 age, illness, or disability.
 - Fresh food, dry food and hygiene items are available or partially available on the markets of all governorates.
 - Despite the Government of Jordan's (GoJ) strict measures to control prices, interviewees perceived an increase in prices across the Kingdom. It is unclear whether the reported inflation is due to a perception bias (even slight increases in prices may be perceived as significant by extremely poor households) or whether some markets have managed to illegally raise prices against GoJ's regulations.
 - Most respondents reported feeling unsafe whilst shopping at the market due to fear of coronavirus and overcrowding. It is recommended to keep these fears in mind if targeted messages are communicated to cash assistance recipients.
- When asked about access to ATMs, interviewees reported that they were able to reach ATMs but could not withdraw cash due to 'other' reasons, not having enough credit in the bank account, ATMs not having enough liquidity, overcrowding, and distance to/from ATMs. As a result, roughly half of the interviewees reported having been unable to withdraw cash in the two weeks preceding the assessment.
- Across governorates, regular ATMs and money transfer offices (MTOs) are relatively far from interviewees' houses. A sizable percentage of respondents across all governorates reported that their closest ATM and MTO is located between 30 minutes and one hour away (each way).

For refugees needing to access ATMs with iris scans, walking distances lengthen further: for example, in Ajloun, Amman and Balqa, over 20% of respondents reportedly need more than one

hour walk each way to reach their closest facility, while another sizable percentage in all governorates indicated it takes them between thirty minutes and one hour.

Unless circulation of public transportation and private cars resumes, several issues of safety and exclusion will arise amongst cash recipients without adequate adaptations. For example, it is unclear how cash recipients with restricted mobility will be able to reach financial service providers without having to rely on other people's help. Further, the time needed to walk all the way to and from financial service providers – which for some households reaches a total of two to three hours – raises red flags in terms of feasibility as well as safety and dignity.

• Most interviewees prefer cash being distributed via ATMs (first preference), through home delivery (second preference) or collected at money transfer offices (third preference). A minimum of 80% of respondents would feel comfortable with cash being delivered directly to their homes through third-party providers. Digital cash transfers via mobile money will need to be accompanied by targeted awareness raising messaging as virtually no respondent reported being familiar with it.

Findings on cash transfer preferences may seem to contradict the results on average walking distances presented above. It is possible that due to the survey's design – the question on preference was asked first, the question on walking distances followed – interviewees did not consider the newly introduced restrictions placed on cars and public transportation. Further, despite a sizable percentage of respondents across all locations highlighting the issue of long walking distances to reach financial service providers, overall the majority of respondents reportedly needed less than half an hour to reach their closest facility. Hence, the findings on ATMs as most preferred option should be triangulated against the inability of some individuals in the target groups to reach financial service providers in reasonable amounts of time.

• A large percentage of interviewees reported to know "some" or "many" people unable to access markets and/or financial service providers because of mobility restrictions linked to disability, isolation and other reasons. It is crucial that targeted modalities of outreach and assistance are designed for individuals and households who, under the current circumstances, are not able to freely reach markets and financial service providers.

2. Methodology

The survey (linked in the Annex) was sent through a KoboCollect link via SMS to 2533 Jordanian and refugee households registered on Caritas Jordan's Customer Relationship Management (CRM) system.

Selected interviewees received a short text message from Caritas Jordan requesting to fill a survey linked in the text. This has created a bias whereby only literate interviewees and interviewees with access to smartphones and internet connection were able to fill the survey. However, as Caritas selected interviewees with high CARI (Consolidated Approach for Reporting Indicators of Food Security) and Debt per Capita scores residing in all governorates of the Kingdom, the information collected through the survey nonetheless reflects the reality of highly vulnerable individuals. In total, between the 9th and the 13th of April, 1013 valid submissions were collected.

3. Baseline of respondents

The interviewees are mostly located in Irbid, Zarqa, Amman and Mafraq.

Number of surveys by governorate

Irbid					339
Zarqa				261	
Amman			1	251	
Mafraq		76			
Balqa	32				
Ajloun	17				
Jerash	16				
Karak	13				
Madaba	8				

Most interviewees are Syrians, followed by respondents of Jordanian, Iraqi, Palestinian and 'other' nationalities. A question on the exact city of residence in the governorate was also asked in the survey in order to gain a more localized understanding on market and financial service providers' functionality. However, the majority of respondents in most governorates selected 'other' as their city of residence, which did not allow for disaggregation at the city-level.

Jordanian	Male	75	
	Female	75	
Syrian	Male		415
	Female		407
Iraqi	Male	17	
	Female	11	
Palestinian	Female	2	
Other	Male	9	
	Female	2	

Nationality and gender of interviewees

Interviewees come mostly from MHH (in the graph below colored in light blue). Nationality and gender of the head of household

Jordanian	81%	19%
Syrian	70%	30%
Iraqi	79%	21%
Palestinian	100%	
Other	82%	18%

Interviewees are relatively young: the average age goes from a minimum of 31 years old for Palestinian interviewees for a maximum of 48 for Iraqis.

Average age of interviewees

Jordanian	Female	41
	Male	45
Syrian	Female	38
	Male	39
Iraqi	Female	47
	Male	48
Palestinian	Female	31
Other	Female	35
	Male	40

Although questions around family size and number of dependent family members were asked in order to understand vulnerabilities linked to dependency ratios, several data entry errors were noted. Therefore, the calculations around average household size and dependency ratios are unfortunately of limited validity.

Further, as Caritas uses the Washington Group Questionnaire (WGQ) to detect disability, for the sake of brevity the standard WGQ set of six questions was omitted. However, questions aimed at detecting disability were included in targeted questions related to access.

4. Findings

4.1. Impact of the lockdown

A large majority of respondents reported rent, food, debt repayment, utilities and health services as their most urgent needs. Rent is the most commonly reported priority for refugees (91%) and the second-most reported for Jordanians (63%). This highlights how amongst vulnerable Jordanians rent is also a pressing priority.

Since the start of the lockdown, what have your three most urgent needs been?

Refugees	Rent		91%)		9%
	Food	83%				17%
	Debt repayment	48	3%		52%	
	Utilities (fuel, electricity, gas, water, etc)	34%		66%		
	Health services	29%		71%		
	Hygiene items (personal and household)	20%		80%		
	Internet and phone bills	89%				
	Education services	9%		91%		
	Other			97%		
	Protection services	98%				
	Legal services	99%				
	Information		99%			
Jordanians	Rent		63%		37%	
	Food		85%			15%
	Debt repayment		53%		47%	
	Utilities (fuel, electricity, gas, water, etc)	45% 55%		5%		
	Health services	27%		73%		
	Hygiene items (personal and household)	21%		79%		
	Internet and phone bills	11% 89%				
	Education services	9% 91%				
	Other	<mark>7%</mark> 93%				
	Protection services	98%				
	Legal services			98%		
	Information		1	00%		

Virtually all households have no family members involved in paid labor at the time of the assessment.

Number of family members engaged in paid labour *after* lockdown

	0	1
FHH	95%	4%
MHH	96%	4%

In comparison with the number of family members active in paid labor before the lockdown, it is possible to see that the number of households with no family member earning an income doubled for FHH and tripled for MHH.

Number of family members engaged in paid labour *before* lockdown

	0	1	2	3
FHH	45%	50%	5%	
MHH	33%	61%	5%	1%

As a consequence, virtually all interviewed households lost all or most of their family income. Across different demographics, it is possible to notice that Syrian and Jordanian MHHs reported losing higher portions of income compared to their female counterparts. This is possibly due to the fact that, even before the lockdown, FHH had fewer family members in employment, which resulted in a less significant loss in terms of income proportion.

How much of your monthly income have you and your family lost since the start of the lockdown?



none of our family income

some of our family income

most of our family income

all of our family income

As the overwhelming majority of all interviewees report that they have not received aid, data shows that economic vulnerability is compounded by lack of safety nets. The percentage of households reporting not having received assistance in the three months preceding the assessment is unusually high, especially considering that interviewees constitute the most vulnerable segment on Caritas Jordan's database and hence are more likely to be assisted by humanitarian actors. A possible explanation for this discrepancy is that interviewees preferred not to disclose being a recipient of regular assistance in fear of not receiving COVID19 funds.

In the last three months, have you been receiving any regular assistance from GoJ, UN or NGOs?



yes yes Amongst the few interviewees who reported receiving assistance, only a small minority has been able to receive it since the start of the lockdown. Instead, the majority reportedly has not received support since the start of the lockdown or only partially did so.



Have you been able to receive assistance now after the lockdown?

4.2. Markets

4.2.1. Access

The majority of households reported being able to access markets. Some regional variations exist in Mafraq, Balqa and Madaba where over 25% of interviewees report not having been able to access markets.

Access to market was further analysed disaggregating by nationality and gender of the interviewee, however no significant differences were noted across different demographic segments. This points towards the presence of barriers operating at a regional level rather than connected to a household's demographics.

Since the lockdown, have you or any of your household members been able to go to the market? *by governorate*

Ajloun	12%	88%	
Amman	22%	77%	
Balqa	28%	72%	
Irbid	21%	76%	4%
Jerash		100%	
Karak	15%	85%	
Madaba	25%	75%	
Mafraq	30%	66%	4%
Zarqa	21%	77%	

Not applicable: did not need to or attempt to go
 yes
 no

Lack of money to purchase goods, physical restrictions because of illness, disability or age, and fear of going outside were the three most commonly reported barriers to access.

Disaggregating barriers to access by governorates, data shows that Balqa is the only governorate where lack of transportation has been reported as an obstacle to reaching markets by 13% of interviewees. The remaining factors remain constant across all governorates.

What issues did you face in accessing the market?



not an issues is an issue

4.2.2. Functionality

Markets are somewhat stocked across all governorates. Most respondents everywhere report that dry food, fresh food and hygiene items are partially available. Percentages of respondents reporting that goods are not available at all remain below 15% in all governorates except for Balqa, Karak and Madaba. It is unclear, however, whether higher percentages in these governorates are connected with the lower number of surveys collected there as opposed to actual lower market functionality.

Ajloun	Dry food	7%	60%			33%
	Fresh food	20%		67%		13%
	Hygiene ite	7%	60%			33%
Amman	Dry food	12%	51%		3	7%
	Fresh food	13%		67%		20%
	Hygiene ite	14%	50	3%		33%
Balqa	Dry food	9%		74%		17%
	Fresh food	35%	5	5	52%	13%
	Hygiene ite	22%		61%		17%
Irbid	Dry food	10%	52%		38	3%
	Fresh food	11%		65%		24%
	Hygiene ite	10%	51%		39	%
Jerash	Dry food	6%	44%		50%	
	Fresh food	6%	69	9%		25%
	Hygiene ite	6%	50%		44%	
Karak	Dry food	18%		55%		27%
	Fresh food	27%		64	%	9%
	Hygiene ite	27%		55%		18%
Madaba	Dry food		50%		50%	
	Fresh food		8	33%		17%
	Hygiene ite		50%		50%	
Mafraq	Dry food	8%	46%		46%	
	Fresh food	16%		76%		8%
	Hygiene ite	10%	50%		409	%
Zarqa	Dry food	12%		60%		28%
	Fresh food	14%		67%		19%
	Hygiene ite	16%	4	9%		35%

Goods availability on markets

partially available

not available

In addition to goods, the survey inquired about availability of paid services on the market. Here, most respondents highlighted being unable to access paid essential services they needed since the start of the lockdown.

Percentages remain similar across nationalities and FHH/MHH.



Since the lockdown, have you been able to access essential paid services you need? *by governorate*

no

With regards to inflation, interviewees perceived a significant increase in prices across all governorates, especially in Ajloun, Amman, Irbid and Zarqa. As since the start of the lockdown the Government of Jordan (GoJ) has proactively capped prices and regularly monitored markets to ensure compliance, it is not clear whether the reported inflation is a perception bias or rather, despite the GoJ's efforts, some markets have managed to illegally increase prices.

Since the lockdown, how have prices changed?



4.2.3. Safety

About half of the interviewees also reported feeling 'always' or 'often' unsafe while shopping at the market, especially in Madaba and Zarqa. The only exception is Jerash, where only 25% of interviewees reported feeling 'often' unsafe.

Looking at the gender of the respondents, there is no significant variation between male and female interviewees of different nationalities. This again points towards the presence of common risks across governorates rather than risks associated to specific demographics.

Ajloun 33% 33% Amman 35% 22% Balqa 22% 22% Irbid 24% Jerash 25% 38% Karak 18% 36% 27 Madaba 33% Mafrag 40% 20% 44% Zarqa 16 never rarely often always 🖉

Since the lockdown, have you felt unsafe while shopping at the market? *by governorate*

Looking at factors causing fear, interviewees identified coronavirus and overcrowding as prominent. Why did you feel unsafe?

Fear of coronavirus		86%	14%
Overcrowding	31%	69%	
Other		96%	
Uncertainty about what is permitted and what is not		97%	
Shop owners or customers don't treat me respectfully		97%	

is an issue

4.3. Financial service providers

4.3.1. Access

Looking at access to ATMs, interviewees reported that they were able to reach ATMs but could not withdraw cash. As a result, almost half of respondents everywhere – with the exception of Madaba – report having been unable to withdraw cash in the two weeks preceding the assessment. Disaggregating answers by the gender and nationality of the respondents, no noticeable difference is found.



In the last two weeks, were you able to withdraw cash safely? by governorate

The survey was unfortunately unable to capture reasons behind the inability to withdraw. As visible in the graph below, the majority of respondents reported 'other' as issue with the ATM, followed by lack of credit in the bank account, lack of liquidity in the ATM, overcrowding, distance and inability to reach the ATM. Reported issues are consistent across governorates.

yes partially no

Which issues with ATM did you face?



not an issue is an issue

4.3.2. Distance

Given the heavy restrictions imposed to circulation of private cars and public transportation in order to contain the spread of COVID 19, walking distance to ATMs and other financial service providers was identified as a potential barrier to accessing cash safely.

Looking at the time needed to reach the closest ATMs with iris scans, general ATMs and money transfer offices, it is clear that unless circulation of public transportation and private cars resumes, cash recipients may face issues in reaching fixed cash distribution points.

With regards to ATMs with iris scan specifically, in Ajloun, Amman and Balqa, over 20% of respondents reportedly need more than one hour walk each way to reach their closest facility, while another sizable percentage across all governorates indicated it would take them between thirty minutes and one hour, with peaks of 75% and 50% in Karak and Mafraq respectively.

Ajloun 75% 28% 50% Amman Balqa 33% 44% Irbid 34% 55% Jerash 38% 63% Karak 75% 25% Madaba 33% 67% Mafrag 50% 50% Zarqa 33% 54% 13% Less than 30 minutes Between 30 minutes - 1 hour

Time needed to reach ATM with iris scan on foot

One hour and above

Looking at general ATMs, a significant portion of interviewees need between half an hour and one hour to reach it. A minority of respondents in Ajloun (17%) and Amman (5%) reported needing over one hour.

Time needed to reach closest ATM on foot



Between 30 minutes - 1 hour

One hour and above

Similarly, between 20-40% of respondents across all governorates report that their closest money transfer office is located between half an hour and one hour away. For roughly 10% of interviewees in Amman, Balqa and Zarqa, the walking distance is over an hour.



Time needed to reach closest money transfer office on foot

Between 30 minutes - 1 hour One hour and above

The average time to reach separate financial service provider facilities across all governorates is provided below.



Average walking distance from closest ATM, ATM with iris scan and money transfer office expressed in minutes

4.4. Mobile payment options

The large majority of respondents is not familiar with mobile payment options. This raises questions on how to increase recipients' digital literacy if mobile payment options are made available as a transfer modality.

Jordanian	Female	71%	15%	1	15%
	Male	72%	11%	17	7%
Syrian	Female	74%	13%		13%
	Male	76%	11%		13%
Iraqi	Female	82%		9%	9%
	Male	82%		12%	6%
Palestinian	Female				100%
Other	Female				100%
	Male				100%

Are you familiar with mobile payment options such as Zain, Umniah, Mahafazati or Orange mobile e-wallet?

4.5. Preferred assistance modalities

partially

When asked about the best way to receive assistance, virtually all interviewees voiced their preference for cash. MHHs off all nationalities report preferring vouchers in higher rates compared to FHHs, especially of Jordanian, Iraqi and 'other' nationalities. In-kind was identified as preferred modality of assistance by less than 7% of respondents.

Given the current situation, what would be the best way for you to receive assistance?

Jordanian	FHH	86%	7% 7%
	MHH	85%	12%
Syrian	FHH	92%	4% <mark>4%</mark>
	MHH	90%	5% <mark>5%</mark>
Iraqi	FHH	100%	
	MHH	77%	18% <mark>5%</mark>
Palestinian	MHH		100%
Other	FHH	100%	
	MHH	78%	22%



When asked about the three best modalities to deliver cash assistance, the majority of interviewees chose ATM withdrawal, home delivery and collection from hawalas/money transfer offices.



Preferred cash transfer modalities

not a preferred modality

is a preferred modality

While preferences remain consistent when answers are disaggregated by gender of interviewee, minor variations exist between nationalities. The majority of Jordanians express a preference for cash being delivered to their door, closely followed by withdrawing from ATMs. Refugees, on the other, find ATMs the most preferable option, followed by delivery.

Preferred cash transfer modalities

Jordanians	Withdraw from ATM		43%	57%	
	Delivered to my house directly		48% 52%		
	Collect from hawala or money transfer office	30%	70%		
	Collect from bank counter	19%	81%		
	Mobile money	23%	77%		
	Collect from NGO centre	17%	83%		
	Other	99%			
Refugees	Withdraw from ATM		67% 33%		
	Delivered to my house directly		50% 50%		
	Collect from hawala or money transfer office	29%	29% 71%		
	Collect from bank counter	19%	81%		
	Mobile money	13%	87%		
	Collect from NGO centre	7%	93%		
	Other	98%			

not a preferred modality

is a preferred modality

yes

With regards to door to door cash delivery, the majority of respondents report feeling comfortable with it. The only exceptions are Iraqi women, almost a third of whom reported they would only 'partially' feel comfortable with it. Note that the high percentage of 'no's recorded for Palestinian women is linked to the limited sample size (two interviewees).

Would you be comfortable with private services delivering cash or goods directly to your house?

	85%	8% 7%				
		00/ 70/				
	84%	9% 7%				
	91%	9%				
	87%	11%				
	73%	27%				
	100%					
		100%				
50%		50%				
	100%					
9	3	87% 73% 100% 50%				

4.6. Outreach and targeted assistance

The assessment found that a large section of the population is unable to access markets and/or financial service providers due to mobility restrictions such as disability, isolation or other reasons. Across all governorates, the percentage of interviewees reporting to know 'many' or 'some' people unable to access markets and financial service providers averages between 60-70%, with the only exception of Karak, where percentages are much higher (84%). The findings are consistent with data on individuals unable to access markets: locations with higher percentages of respondents reporting being unable to access markets or financial service providers also show consistent percentages of respondents reporting to know other people in their situation.

When planning a cash intervention, it is crucial that targeted modalities of outreach and assistance are designed for individuals and households who, under the current circumstances, are not able to freely reach markets and financial service providers.

In your opinion, in your area, are there people who are unable to go collect cash or go to the market because of disability, isolation or other reasons?

Ajloun	12%	53%	6%	29%
Amman	33%	28%	4% 3%	31%
Balqa	41%	22%	3% 3%	31%
Irbid	29%	31%	5%	32%
Jerash	38%	19%	13%	31%
Karak	23%	62%		8% 8%
Madaba 📕	38%	25%		38%
Mafraq	37%	21%	3%	38%
Zarga	30%	33%	3% 3%	32%

don't know no, only a few

yes, some yes, many

5. Annex: Survey form

Informed consent

1. Dear sir/madame, we at Caritas are sending you this survey to ask you questions about how you and your family are coping in these stressful times as well as to ask about the situation of markets and banks in your city. This survey contains about 20 questions which can be completed in less than 5 minutes. Participation is completely voluntary. Note that participating in this interview will not guarantee that assistance will be distributed to you or people in your community, however information you provide will great help us and other NGOs understand your need and how we can best help. Would you like to participate? [yes / no]

Information about respondents

- 2. Governorate of residence: [Amman / Irbid / Mafraq / Zarqa / Ajloun / Jerash / Madaba / Balqa / Karak / Tafileh / Maan / Aqaba]
- 3. City:
- 4. Nationality: [Jordanian / Syrian / Palestinian / Iraqi / Other]
- 5. Gender: [M / F]
- 6. Age: [number]
- 7. Gender of the head of the household: [M / F]
- 8. Family size: [Number]
- 9. How many family members, included yourself if relevant, need help with mobility, washing, taking care of themselves and other daily activities? [Number]

Needs

- 10. Since the start of the lockdown / curfew, what have been your top three most urgent needs? [Food / Rent / Hygiene items (personal and household) / Health services / Utilities (fuel, electricity, gas, water) / Debt repayment / Internet and phone bills / Education services / Legal services / Protection services / Other]
- 11. How much of your monthly income have you and your family lost since the start of the lockdown? [all of our family income / most of our family income / some of our family income]
- 12. In the three months before the lockdown, how many household members used to work? [number]
- 13. Now, after the lockdown, how many family members are working? [number]
- 14. In the last three months, have you been receiving any regular assistance from NGOs or the Government? Please note that disclosing being a recipient of assistance will not have any impact on your likelihood or ability to receive assistance in the future from other entities, this question is just for monitoring purposes [yes / no]

If yes, are you still able to receive the assistance now after the lockdown? [yes / partially / no]

Markets

15. Since the lockdown, have you been able to go to a market to shop for groceries or other needed items? [not applicable, did not need to go / yes / no]

If no, please explain why (multiple choice): [distance / lack of transportation / lack of money to buy / afraid to go outside / physical restrictions because of illness, age or disability / market is closed or not functioning / other]

- 16. Since the lockdown, have you been able to find the following items in sufficient quality and quantity in your usual market?
 - a. Fresh food [yes / partially / no]

- b. Dry food [yes / partially / no]
- c. Hygiene items [yes / partially / no]
- 17. Since the lockdown, have you been able to access paid services (e.g. health services, legal assistance, education, etc) that you need? [not applicable, did not need any service / yes / partially / no]
- 18. Since the lockdown, have you felt unsafe while shopping at the market? Why did you feel unsafe? (multiple choice): [shop owners or customers are rude or don't treat me respectfully / overcrowding / uncertainty about what is permitted and what not / other]
- 19. Since the lockdown, have you noticed any increase in prices? [they increased a lot / they increased a little / they stayed the same / they decreased a little / they decreased a lot]

Financial service providers

- 20. Given the current situation, which would be the best way for you and your household to receive assistance (one choice only)? [cash / voucher / in-kind (food, NFI, hygiene items, etc) / other]
- 21. Please select three modalities that you would prefer to receive cash: [withdraw from ATM / collect from bank counter / collect from hawala or money transfer office / delivered to your house / collect from NGO centre / mobile money / other]
- 22. Would you be comfortable with private services delivering cash or goods directly to your house? [yes / partially / no]
- 23. In the last two weeks, were you able to withdraw cash safely? [not applicable, did not go / yes / partially / no]

If no or partially, what happened (multiple choice)? [overcrowding / ATM did not have enough money / was not able to reach ATM / ATM did not work / not enough credit in the bank account /harassment / other]

- 24. How long does it take in minutes to reach your closest ATM with iris scan (on foot)? [insert number]
- 25. How long does it take in minutes to reach your closest ATM (on foot)? [insert number]
- 26. Do you or anyone else in your family have a smartphone with internet access? [yes / no]
- 27. Are you familiar with mobile payment options such mobile e-wallet? [yes / partially / no]
- 28. How long does it take in minutes to reach your closest money transfer or exchange office (on foot)? [insert number]

Isolation

29. In your opinion, in your area, are there people who are unable to go collect cash or go to the market because of disability, distance, insecurity or other reasons? [yes / no]

If yes, could you give us their name and phone number so that Caritas can contact them? If you don't have their phone number, please tell this person to contact Caritas at this number: CAJO Number [text]

End of interview

30. The interview is now over, thank you for your time, we appreciate your contribution. Do you have any questions or comments for us?