

24-11-2021

CCCM Cluster meeting minutes

Attendees: CCCM Cluster Coordination Team (CCT), ACTED, BFD, DEEM, DRC, GWQ, IOM, LMMPO, NRC, RADF, REACH, SDF, SHS, UNHCR, YARD, YCO, YDR Consortium, YRCS

Topic	Discussion points	Action points
YHF updates:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discrepancies in the OCHA shared lists may have cause some confusions among partners and coordinators. Following discussions with OCHA’s HFU, they confirmed there will be flexibility in selection of targeted sub-districts. All clusters were informed of this flexibility. 2. A key component in this allocation is the integrated approach across sectors and partners. 3. There will be a meeting with HFU on Thursday to discuss the review process which will start next week 	
RES situation report	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. This is a document for external communication and advocacy highlighting the gaps escalated through the RES and key updates and recommendations from the area and subnational coordinators 5. RES will be reviewed and adjusted to improve the information collected through the system 6. Aden and Al Dhale’e ABC updated on the eviction issues in Al Dhale’e and the priority to do headcounts in both governorates now that the team can access the sites 7. Marib SNCC updated on the new displacement in Marib. OCHA and the cluster are working on an operational plan for implementation of minimum CCCM activities and establishment of new sites with the estimated capacity of 500HHs per site. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ ExU update that 14,000 HHs displaced to Marib City and Al Wadi, RRM registered around 5,000 HHs ○ ExU proposed 5 lands for receiving new IDPs and the CCCM cluster identified 3 from 5 ○ 44 New IDPs sites identified by the EXUs and the CCCM partner (IOM, SHS, NRC and ACTED) in the process of conducting verification and site profiling 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CCCM CCT to share the document with all partners for final comments by Thursday CoB / after this deadline the document will be shared with ICCM and on data portal
Recent developments in Al Hudaydah	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Recent developments in the West Coast caused onward displacement, mainly towards IRG areas. A new site in Al Khokha was established by The Humanitarian Cell 9. An Inter-Agency mission (WFP, OCHA, UNHCR, WHO, NRC, and DSS) carried out needs’ assessment jointly with SCMCHA Al Hodeidah to the new DFA controlled areas 10. In At Tuhaytah, only sites around Al Haymah are accessible by CCCM partners. Authorities in the DFA areas promised to facilitate continuity of humanitarian interventions that were in At Tuhaytah and Ad Duraihimi. However, NRC reported they were not able to continue their activities in At Tuhayta 11. In Hays, Jabal Ras and Al Garrahi districts, the developments displaced 735 families mainly within the three districts and in At Tuhayta, with some IDPs settling Zabid and Bait Al Faqeeh. DRC is looking at new arrivals in Hays and expects resumption of access next week. 	

24-11-2021

CCCM Cluster meeting minutes

	<p>12. Landmines in At Tuhayhta and Ad Duraihimi, along with lack of services, may prevent some IDPs from returning to their homes</p>	
<p>Discussion on the findings from AI Baydha mission</p>	<p>13. A presentation summarizing the mission findings, observations and recommendations is attached 14. The interagency mission included a visit to 4 sites, 1 of which is managed by SDF 15. A general lack of service provision and infrastructure was witnessed. An issue that was encountered was the uninhabitability of some sites. Some of the sites are situated near dumpsites and necessitate relocation the IDPs to more habitable ones 16. In the managed site, the predominant shelter typology is semi-permanent. The knowledge and support of camp management was evident, however more support is needed when it comes to protection, information sharing, and site infrastructure. 17. It was discussed that the observations from the mission can be generalized for many sites across the country. The cluster coordinator suggested having a survey for partners to indicate what camp management components are or are not present in sites. The survey will be based on the checklist from the CCCM toolkit and will help identify key gaps and challenges in managed sites, and ways to address them.</p>	<p>2. CCT to make a survey of the systems in place in sites</p>
<p>AOB</p>	<p>17. Lahj's Area Coordinator offered to share DRC's experience and lessons learned from implementing CM in dispersed sites in Lahj 18. CCCM National Co-coordinator support is approved, and the TOR will be shared after the meeting 19. Lahj's Area Coordinator suggested to have an annual review session to discuss lessons learned and make recommendation on the way forward for CCCM's work</p>	<p>3. CCT to share the co-coordinator TOR 4. CCT to update the cluster on the HLP working group 5. CCT to set up a CCCM review session</p>