Background & Methodology

As of 20th of November 2022, more than 7 million refugees have reportedly fled Ukraine, with 2,285,258 refugees crossing directly from Ukraine into Romania, around 87,885 of whom have remained in the country (UNHCR). To respond to their needs, the Romanian government and civil society organisations have established 1,538 Refugee Accommodation Sites across the country. As of 22nd of November, these centres had a reported capacity to host 54,316 refugees.

To monitor the situation and identify the potential needs of these centres, UNHCR and REACH, with the support of the Romanian Department for Emergency situations (DSU), conducted key informant interviews (KIIs) in accessible sites with site focal points who reported on the situation in the centres. Data collection took place between 17th of May and 2nd of September and it was done through in person and phone interviews. Only sites registered by DSU hosting more than 10 refugees were contacted to be part of the assessment. This factsheet presents the main findings from 184 active assessed sites. Findings should be considered indicative.

Number of assessed sites per county - as of 02.09.2022

Source: DSU, Registry of Refugee Accommodation Sites, 01.09.2022

Average number of refugees per assessed site

34

Proportion of assessed sites by hosting capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-50 people</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-100 people</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 100</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total reported capacity of sites

- # of people currently hosted at the sites: 7,760
- # of places available at the sites: 42,081

Average stay duration in the assessed sites

- A few days: 3%
- One week: 5%
- More than one week: 86%
- Not sure: 3%

*It should be noted that both the age and gender breakdown findings only reflect the sites where KIs knew the breakdown of refugees hosted (by age and gender).
% of sites in need of items, by type of items

Below is an overview of items that assessed sites reported as needed at the time of data collection for the regular site functioning. While shortages of medical supplies, cleaning items and other appliances (e.g. washing machine, fridge) have been reported, a part of these items was claimed as needed for maintaining steady supplies. These claims do not necessarily mean sites do not currently have the requested items. Rather, these requests may be based on forward looking considerations about maintaining steady supplies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of items</th>
<th>% of sites in need of items, by type</th>
<th>% of sites having received items by type since their opening*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby food</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking items</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping items</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygiene items</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning items</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant supplies</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children clothes</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult clothes</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical supplies</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies for older people and people with disabilities</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other appliances</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education items</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>No data collected**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The sites that reported receiving assistance mentioned the sources of donations to be NGOs (62%), private organisations (51%), the host community (44%), volunteer organisations (40%), local authorities (20%), Government (7%) and UN agencies (6%) (multiple choices question).

**This was due to the fact that there were indications from other assessments that there were little to no education items received. Moreover, the survey already had a significant number of questions, hence it was decided to not include this indicator.
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Assessed Sites Information

- **% of sites by site typology**
  - Collective site (pre-existing structure): 84%
  - Planned site (purpose-built site): 13%
  - Unplanned site (spontaneous settlement): 3%

- **% of sites by type of building**
  - Hotel/hostel: 30%
  - Residential building: 28%
  - Religious building: 11%
  - Education facility: 8%
  - Resort, summer camp: 7%
  - Dormitory: 5%
  - Community centre: 4%
  - Office building: 2%
  - Sports facility: 2%
  - Former factories: 1%
  - Governmental building: 1%
  - Shopping mall: 1%

- **% of sites by expected site duration**
  - Short-term (less than 3 months): 7%
  - Medium-term (3 to 12 months): 39%
  - Long-term (more than 12 months): 34%
  - Not sure: 21%

Reported availability of services at sites

- **Legal advice**
  - Yes: 51%
  - No: 49%

- **Child-protection services**
  - Yes: 34%
  - No: 66%

- **Gender-Based Violence services**
  - Yes: 36%
  - No: 64%

- **Mental and psychological support**
  - Yes: 28%
  - No: 72%

- **Basic medical services**
  - Yes: 43%
  - No: 57%

- **Services for people with disabilities and special needs**
  - Yes: 47%
  - No: 53%

- **Complaints and Response Mechanism**
  - Yes: 72%
  - No: 28%

- **Translation services**
  - Yes: 60%
  - No: 40%

- **Formal education**
  - Yes: 72%
  - No: 28%
### County-Level Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th># of sites</th>
<th># of assessed sites</th>
<th># of refugees hosted at the moment of the interview</th>
<th>County-wide capacity at the moment of the interview</th>
<th>County-wide occupancy rate at the moment of the interview</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alba</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arad</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arges</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacau</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bihor</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bistrita Nasaud</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botosani</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2,796</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braila</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brasov</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>2,444</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bucuresti-lifov</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1,577</td>
<td>4,361</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buzau</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calarasi</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1,721</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caras Severin</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluj</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1,519</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constanta</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1,857</td>
<td>10,084</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covasna</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dambovita</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doj</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galati</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>1,335</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giurgiu</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorj</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harghita</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunedoara</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ialomita</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iasi</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1,569</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maramures</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1,421</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehedinti</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mures</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neamt</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olt</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prahova</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaj</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satu Mare</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1,294</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibiu</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suceava</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>2,698</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teleorman</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timis</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulcea</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>4,856</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valcea</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>2,945</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaslui</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,019</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,538</strong></td>
<td><strong>184</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,760</strong></td>
<td><strong>51,528</strong></td>
<td><strong>15%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: DSU, Registry of Refugee Accommodation Sites, 01.09.2022