



SITES PER AREA



IRG DFA

IDPs PER AREA



IRG DFA

2316 IDP HOSTING SITES
777 SITES COVERED

86M CLUSTER REQUIREMENTS
17.9M FUND RECEIVED

266K HHs IN SITES
153K HHs COVERED
66 AVERAGE HHs IN SITES

1,530K IDPs IN SITES
153K IDPs COVERED
371 AVERAGE IDPs IN SITES


758 SITES IN IRG AREAS
38% COVERED

1,558 SITES IN DFA AREAS
31% COVERED


612K IDPs IN IRG AREAS
64% COVERED

918K IDPs IN DFA AREAS
51% COVERED


SITES IN GOVERNORATES




IDPs IN GOVERNORATES




SITES IN HUBs




IDPs IN HUBs



IDPs PER GOVERNORATES



SITES PER POPULATION RANGE



IMPLEMENTATION PARTNERS




1 UN PARTNER **4 INGO PARTNER** **17 NGO PARTNER**
11% SITES COVERED **27% SITES COVERED** **62% SITES COVERED**
21% IDPs COVERED **26% IDPs COVERED** **53% IDPs COVERED**

COVERED SITES PER COVERAGE LINES OF RESPONSE




SITES AND POPULATION TRENDS PER SITE TYPE


COVERED
NOT COVERED




10 HIGHEST POPULATION COVERED SITES




10 HIGHEST POPULATION SUBDISTRICTS



COVERED IDPs PARTNER



COVERED SITES PER PARTNER



2020

TOTAL SITES AND IDPS IN DEC


1,594

IDP HOSTING SITES


1,026,009

IDPs IN SITES

2021

TOTAL SITES AND IDPS IN DEC


2,358

IDP HOSTING SITES


1,553,747

IDPs IN SITES

2022

TOTAL SITES AND IDPS IN DEC


2,316

IDP HOSTING SITES


1,529,629

IDPs IN SITES

FUND AND PARTNERS



10M Fund received
31% Of total requirement



20 implementing partners
3 supporting partners

FUND AND PARTNERS



17.2M Fund received
28% Of total requirement



18 implementing partners
3 supporting partners

FUND AND PARTNERS



17.9M Fund received
21% Of total requirement



23 implementing partners
3 supporting partners

REACHED


634

IDP HOSTING SITES


657,916

IDPs IN SITES

REACHED


557

IDP HOSTING SITES


569,687

IDPs IN SITES

REACHED



827

IDP HOSTING SITES


848,205

IDPs IN SITES

IDPs/SITES TREND



The Increase in IDPs and Sites represents not only the new displacements happening due to the recent ongoing conflict in some areas, But also due the revealing of sites that were hard-to-reach areas or conflict zones that recently identified, also to the increase of CCCM Partners which allowed scanning more governorates.


SUB-NATIONAL COORDINATION

NATIONAL COORDINATION


AREA-BASED COORDINATION

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| SADAH HUB | Sameer Salah (UNHCR) Sub-National Coordinator 712225302 - salahsa@unhcr.org |
| AI-HODIEDAH HUB | Basem Ali (UNHCR) Sub-National Coordinator 712 225169 - aliba@unhcr.org |
| SANAA HUB | Bassam AL-Ba'adani (UNHCR) Sub-National Coordinator 712225290 - albaadan@unhcr.org |
| MARIB HUB | Yasser Al Shamiri (IOM) Sub-National Coordinator 770010045 - yalshameri@iom.int |
| IBB HUB | Mohammed Al-Kanani (UNHCR) Sub-National Coordinator 712225012 - alkanani@unhcr.org |
| ADEN HUB | Ali Baowain (UNHCR) Sub-National Coordinator 739991270 - baowain@unhcr.org Shatha Alaghbari (BCFHD) Sub-National CO-Coordinator 739991270 - shatha_alaghbari@bcfhd.org Mohammed Nasser (UNHCR) Information Management Associate 779989863 - mubarak@unhcr.org |



| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Gabriel Mathieu (UNHCR) National Cluster Coordinator 712225308 - mathieu@unhcr.org | Walid Al-Hashedy (UNHCR) Deputy Cluster Coordinator 712225164 - alhashew@unhcr.org | Afrah Alogaili (UNHCR) Information Management 712225098 - alogaili@unhcr.org |
|---|--|--|




| | |
|-----------|--|
| 1 | Taleb Al Sleemi (YARD) Al Jawf Area-Based Coordinator 716602265 - t.alsleemi@yard-yemen.org |
| 2 | Salah Mosally (YRCS) Amran Area-Based Coordinator 770652075 / 717178635 - salah.mosally@gmail.com |
| 3 | Marwan Al-Sanabni (SDF) Dhamar and Al Baydha Area-Based Coordinator 770655501 - m.alsanabani@sdfyemen.org |
| 4 | Talaat Hassen (YGUSSWP) Sana'a Area-Based Coordinator 776353517 - talaat@yugusswp.org |
| 5 | Salah Al-Waily (YFCA) Ibb Area-Based Coordinator 777213606 - s.waily@yfca.org |
| 6 | Samer Al Qadasi (DEEM) Taiz Area-Based Coordinator 770835420 - s.abdullah@deemyemen.org |
| 7 | Yahya Ad-Dash (DRC) Abs and Az Zuhrah Area-Based Coordinator 774309002 / 776170069 - yahia.aldash@drc.ngo |
| 8 | Samer Thabet (ACTED) Aden Area-Based Coordinator 779650056 - aden.dpm-cccm@acted.org |
| 9 | Farooq Taher (ACTED) Al Dhalea Area-Based Coordinator 776665371 - aldhalee.dpm-cccm@acted.org |
| 10 | Mohammed Alaswdy (GWQ) Al Mukha Area-Based Coordinator 774466653 - m.alaswdy@gwq-ye.org |
| 11 | Alaa Naser (DRC) Lahj Area-Based Coordinator 773934529 - alaa.naser@drc.ngo |
| 12 | Sakher Anis (NRC) Abyan Area-Based Coordinator 775761128 - sakher.ali@nrc.no |
| 13 | Ahmed Alshuga'a (BCFHD) Taiz Area-Based Coordinator 775073193 - ahmed_alshugaa@bcfhd.org |
| 14 | Shabbir Mortuja (DRC) Al Hodaidah West Coast Area-Based Coordinator 778900542 - shabbir.mortuja@drc.ngo |



Disclaimers: The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by the United Nations.
 Sources: Yemen IPC Acute Food Insecurity scale (2020-2021-2022) , Yemen CCCM cluster IDP hosting sites masterlist (2020-2021-2022)




IPC LEVELS IN CCCM IDPs REPORTED DISTRICTS - 2020




■ PHASE 2 ■ PHASE 3 ■ PHASE 4

IPC LEVELS IN CCCM IDPs REPORTED DISTRICTS - 2021



■ PHASE 2 ■ PHASE 3 ■ PHASE 4

IPC LEVELS IN CCCM IDPs REPORTED DISTRICTS - 2022




■ PHASE 2 ■ PHASE 3 ■ PHASE 4

Estimated Gaps of services in Sites

As the cluster had in 2022 the site reporting tool data for around 66% of the sites running on a quarterly basis, using that we could see that the gaps are getting better slightly for some sites (covered sites) despite all, but as the needs and gaps are arising more and more for uncovered sites, the situation gets worse for some sectors

■ ADEQUATE
 ■ NON - ADEQUATE
 ■ NOT EXISTING

RRM DISTRIBUTION



SHELTER SERVICES



NFI DISTRIBUTION



FOOD DISTRIBUTION



CASH SERVICES



WASH SERVICES



HEALTH SERVICES



EDUCATION SERVICES



LIVELIHOOD SERVICES



PROTECTION SERVICES




NUTRITION SERVICES





WASTE DISPOSAL SERVICES



2022 CCCM HNO



2022 CCCM HRP TARGET PEOPLE - REACHED




2022 CCCM PARTNERS

UNHCR AS A CLUSTER LEAD SUPPORTED THE CLUSTER BY FUNDING 12 PARTNERS IN THEIR IMPLEMENTATIONS WITH **47%** OF THE CLUSTER OVERALL REACH

YDR CONSORTIUM HAS SUPPORTED THE CLUSTER WITH CAPACITY BUILDING MATERIALS AND TRAININGS, ALSO HAS SUPPORTED FUNDING 4 PARTNERS IN THEIR IMPLEMENTATIONS

23 DIRECT IMPLEMENTING PARTNERS **1** UN PARTNER (IOM- ALSO A CLUSTER CO-LEAD WITH **20%** OF THE CLUSTER OVERALL REACH), **4** INGO PARTNERS AND **18** NGO PARTNERS


ALSO **REACH** PROVIDED IM SUPPORT TO THE CLUSTER AND ITS PARTNERS




2022 CLUSTER REQUIREMENTS




2022 CCCM HRP TARGET SITES - REACHED




REACHED IDPs PER GOVERNORATES




REACHED PER TARGETED DISTRICTS




OF CCCM STAFF AND AUTHORITIES WITH STRENGTHENED CAPACITIES IN SITE MANAGEMENT (DISAGGREGATED)




OF COMMUNITY-BASED PROJECTS AIMED AT SITE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNITY OWNERSHIP




MEMBERS OF FUNCTIONAL COMMUNITY SELF-ORGANIZING COMMITTEES




OF MASS INFORMATION CAMPAIGNS CONDUCTED




OF REFERRALS TRACKED AND ADDRESSED USING THE AREA BASED APPROACH




OF SITES WITH FUNCTIONAL COMPLAINTS AND FEEDBACK MECHANISMS ESTABLISHED




OF SITES WITH FUNCTIONAL SITE MANAGEMENT TEAMS




PEOPLE INCENTIVIZED THROUGH CASH FOR WORK FOR SITE MAINTENANCE




SITE TOOL KITS AND MATERIAL FOR MAINTENANCE, SAFETY AND HAZARD PREVENTION




SITES WITH SAFETY AND RISK PREVENTION COMMUNITY-LED PROJECTS







| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 15 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 106 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 381 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 31 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 744 |
| Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 7 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 774 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 40 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 537 |



| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 0 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 46 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 12 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 6 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 10 |
| Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 5 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 129 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 6 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 135 |




| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 0 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 3 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 31 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 0 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 20 |
| Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 2 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 264 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 200 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 0 |




| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 12 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 384 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 133 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 12 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 22 |
| Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 2 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 148 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 12 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 12 |

DRC DANISH REFUGEE COUNCIL



| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 37 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 106 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 542 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 184 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 404 |
| Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 7 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 754 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 658 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 141 |

FMF المؤسسة الطبية الميدانية Field Medical Foundation




| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 0 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 7 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 53 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 0 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 36 |
| Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 7 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 7 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 316 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 287 |

GWQ



| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 37 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 106 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 542 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 184 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 404 |
| Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 7 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 754 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 658 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 141 |

IOM




| | |
|---|-------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 56 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 79 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 5231 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 64 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 1122 |
| Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 13 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 651 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 141 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 13403 |

JAAHD




- # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects **1**
- % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established **100%**
- # of information campaigns conducted **1227**
- # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach **0**
- # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership **5**
- # Members functional community self-organizing committees **131**
- Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site **1**
- # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management **121**
- # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance **0**
- # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention **0**

NFDHR





- # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects **0**
- % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established **100%**
- # of information campaigns conducted **4**
- # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach **0**
- # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership **0**
- # Members functional community self-organizing committees **24**
- Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site **5**
- # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management **36**
- # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance **85**
- # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention **0**

NMO




- # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects **4**
- % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established **100%**
- # of information campaigns conducted **0**
- # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach **7**
- # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership **0**
- # Members functional community self-organizing committees **10**
- Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site **3**
- # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management **4**
- # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance **300**
- # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention **30**

NRC




- # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects **19**
- % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established **96%**
- # of information campaigns conducted **165**
- # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach **276**
- # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership **12**
- # Members functional community self-organizing committees **318**
- Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site **7**
- # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management **333**
- # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance **388**
- # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention **29**




| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 0 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 0 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 2863 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 0 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 25 |
| Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 6 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 59 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 65 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 0 |




| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 0 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 4 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 0 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 0 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 24 |
| Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 5 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 36 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 85 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 0 |




| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 14 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 62 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 279 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 14 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 41 |
| Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 3 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 17 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 146 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 30 |




| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 13 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 1215 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 572 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 48 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 97 |
| Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 7 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 253 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 1746 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 738 |




- # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects **2**
- % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established **100%**
- # of information campaigns conducted **340**
- # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach **373**
- # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership **13**
- # Members functional community self-organizing committees **22**
- Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site **2**
- # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management **340**
- # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance **127**
- # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention **13**



- # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects **0**
- % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established **100%**
- # of information campaigns conducted **300**
- # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach **124**
- # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership **0**
- # Members functional community self-organizing committees **102**
- Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site **2**
- # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management **58**
- # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance **66**
- # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention **0**




- # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects **11**
- % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established **100%**
- # of information campaigns conducted **291**
- # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach **89**
- # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership **6**
- # Members functional community self-organizing committees **20**
- Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site **2**
- # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management **48**
- # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance **0**
- # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention **501**



- # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects **16**
- % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established **100%**
- # of information campaigns conducted **80**
- # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach **123**
- # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership **46**
- # Members functional community self-organizing committees **51**
- Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site **3**
- # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management **331**
- # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance **381**
- # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention **32**

YGUSSWP




| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 34 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 204 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 106 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 52 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 317 |
| Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 5 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 112 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 339 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 42 |

YRCS



| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 21 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 110 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 1006 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 14 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 37 |
| Average Members of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 2 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 17 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 86 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 18 |

Mona Relief



| | |
|---|------|
| # sites with safety and risk prevention community-led projects | 3 |
| % of sites with functional Complaints and Feedback Mechanisms established | 100% |
| # of information campaigns conducted | 21 |
| # of referrals tracked and addressed using the Area Based Approach | 26 |
| # of community-based projects aimed at site management and community ownership | 13 |
| # Members functional community self-organizing committees | 5 |
| Average Mwmbers of functional community self-organizing committees Per Site | 2 |
| # of CCCM staff and authorities with strengthened capacities in site management | 67 |
| # people incentivized through cash for work for site maintenance | 42 |
| # site tool kits and material for maintenance, safety and hazard prevention | 8 |


OTHER PARTNERS




March 2022 The CCCM cluster with the help of REACH, had prepared a flooding risk analysis for the IDPs sites in Yemen using (2D HEC-RAS Depth and hazard) analysis Modelling. The sampling **2,423**. this allowed the cluster to have an insight of the areas where the flooding season would be more, and partners provided data for several preparedness needs where applicable

May 2022 Through that flooding risk analysis, the CCCM cluster collected the required flooding preparedness activities in the high risked areas to and support needed.

FLOODING RISK SCORING FOR SITES




FLOODING RISK SCORING FOR SITES PER HUB




CCCM Soft flood preparedness interventions

Preparing Multi-sectoral Site-level flood preparedness & response Plan, including 3Ws and response matrix




Establishment of flood committee for the site, and encouraging community small-scale measures




Evacuation plan

(identification of evacuation assembly point and route, storage space to keep IDPs belongings including food and non-food items, assigning evacuation wardens from the community...)




Undertaking flood safety awareness campaigns for the IDPs

including local authorities (capacity building) and CCCM staff (posters, training, information tool kits)




CCCM Physical Flood preparedness interventions


Allocation of funds for CCCM site maintenance projects to address urgent infrastructural and sectoral gaps resulting from floods




Access: Levelling, drainage, backfill of pits and swamps at close proximity, and repair of access road to ensure access to site in case of flooding (outside/around the site)




Construction of flood protection walls / gabion barriers




Adequate number of Sand bags available for the site



Establishing/rehabilitating evacuation sites in coordination with local authorities




Rehabilitation of unprotected water sources and points (gabion walls around water source and so on)



Ensure that CCCM partner in charge of site has a functional water pump available for the sites covered to pump the flood water away from the site




Drainage upkeep: Levelling, road ditches, channels around the tents, soil embankment on flood path, dislugging of drainage systems, maintenance of sewage networks, including backfill pits and swamps inside and at closed proximity




Assessment of potential flood risks




Identification, relocation and levelling of shelters and site facilities at high risk of flooding




Establishing site/area-level community alert systems and effective methods of communication, information dissemination, and clear feedback channels (related to flood and risk mitigation)





Ensure contingency response stocks (NFIs, tool kits, tarpaulin, etc.) are available for flood response in the area-based coordination




Site cleaning campaigns prior to flood season, and improving/maintenance of solid waste management (including waste bins)






Obstruction to access the location/site


- No obstruction to access
- Damage to access roads
- Damage to culverts
- OTHERS




Incidents Per Hubs



Land Agreement




Topography of the site



SHELTER GAPS


43k

shelters affected




Shelters affected


What is the type of shelters on the site?




SHELTER NEEDS




NFIs NEEDS




Are there any shelter needs?








Are there any NFIs needs?



Have these needs & gaps been referred to relevant partner?



WASH GAPS



43K

NEEDED HK

2M

NEEDED WATER (LITER)


33K

NEEDED WATER TRUCKS

12K

NEEDED LATRINES

PROTECTION GAPS




HEALTH GAPS


Describe the health issue and mention some details, such as the number of injured or died persons .and diseases may be appeared due to floods.

An increase in mosquitoes, causes febrile diseases, flu, and diarrhea. Also, water-borne diseases, such as typhoid fever, malaria, re-emergence of skin, dengue, rheumatism, and heart rheumatism

How far is the nearest functional health facility? In Meters




FOOD GAPS



Estimated Gaps of services in Sites

As the cluster had in 2022 the site reporting tool data for around 66% of the sites running on a quarterly basis, using that we could see that the gaps are getting better slightly for some sites (covered sites) despite all, but as the needs and gaps are arising more and more for uncovered sites, the situation gets worse for some sectors

■ ADEQUATE
 ■ NON - ADEQUATE
 ■ NOT EXISTING



REFERRALS & ESCALATION SYSTEM

the Referral and Escalation System (RES) is to track site-level sectoral gaps until their resolution and to enforce the roles and responsibilities of each coordination structure involved in the process. This Referral and Escalation report captures only represents gaps in covered sites which were hard to solve by partners, and then were raised through the CCCM Referral and Escalation System, so the actual gaps are much higher on the ground.

CCCM CLUSTER YEMEN
COORDINATION MACHANISM

National

Sub-National

National

56

REFERRALS WAS PENDING FOR
MORE THAN A YEAR
(NEGATIVE RESPONSE)
100% ON THE NATIONAL
CORDINATION LEVEL

GAPS & REFERRALS TRACKED AND ADDRESSED 2022

12,313

USING THE AREA BASED
APPROACH AND SITE LEVEL

394


USING THE REFERRALS &
ESCALATION SYSTEM

These Represented Gaps are only those were hard to solve by partners in the field, So they raised these gaps through the CCCM Referral and Escalation System, many of the represented referrals are currently being coordinated for in all levels


#PENDING GAPS & REFERRALS ON-GOING CORDINATION TO BE SOLVED – RES SYSTEM -2022

1,232

PENDING REFERRALS PER SECTOR



PENDING REFERRALS PER CORDINATION LEVEL





SHELTER & NFI

Pending Gaps Types

Non-Food Items (incl. heating/cooking stoves and fuel, lighting, etc. **50%**, Transitional Shelter issues **38%**, Emergency Shelter Kit **7%**, Shelter maintenance and upgrade **6%**

181 PENDING REFERRALS

Pending Gaps per Level



FSAC

Pending Gaps Types

IDPs not included in distribution / assistance list (caseload / individually / household) **100%**,

109 PENDING REFERRALS

Pending Gaps per Level



WASH

Pending Gaps Types

need for latrine Rehabilitation/maintenance **28%**, Need of basic hygiene kits (HKs) distribution **25%**, need for a water point **9%**, need for water trucking **5%**, others **8%**

293 PENDING REFERRALS

Pending Gaps per Level



HEALTH

Pending Gaps Types

Critical health situation and diseases situation due to site environment / location **31%**, Physical and functional rehabilitation sessions, Provision of Prosthesis and orthosis (artificial limb) for beneficiaries in need **23%**, High level of IDP children in need for health care **19%**, others **27%**

26 PENDING REFERRALS

Pending Gaps per Level



EDUCATION

Pending Gaps Types

need for school supplies/materials/ uniforms - request for support. **88%**, need for temporary additional classrooms **8%**, Overcrowded classes **4%**

26 PENDING REFERRALS

Pending Gaps per Level



PROTECTION

Pending Gaps Types

IDPs lacking / missing legal documentation **40%**, Needs for cash assistance **34%**, High level of women head of household **12%**, Separated and unaccompanied children **8%**

400 PENDING REFERRALS

Pending Gaps per Level



NUTRITION

Pending Gaps Types

Lack of nutrition supplies (RUTF, RUSF, WSB) **47%**, Lack of treatment for malnutrition (SAM/MAM) cases **44%**, IYCF not integrated into nutrition services provided **9%**,

131 PENDING REFERRALS

Pending Gaps per Level




RRM

Pending Gaps Types

Need for RRM distribution **100%**

65 PENDING REFERRALS

Pending Gaps per Level



CCCM YEMEN EJECTION TRACKING REPORT:

While Cluster partners collect information on the land status and occupancy agreement through the CCCM site reporting tool, the eviction tracker is used to report and track active eviction threats and actions taken.

295

INCEDENTS RAISED 2018-2022


75

ACTIVE EVICTIONS THREATS


6,879

ACTIVE AFFECTED HHS


THREATS STATUS




ACTIVE THREATS TIMELINE




ACTIVE THREATS PER HUB




ACTIVE THREATS CAUSE OF THREAT




TYPE OF THE THREAT




ACTIVE THREATS TYPE OF LAND AGREEMENT




ACTIVE THREATS SEVERITY



ACTIVE THREATS ANNOUNCEMENT



INTENTION SURVEY AVAILABLE



1,154

AFFECTED HHs


109

AFFECTED SITES


196

INCIDENTS

INCIDENTS TIMELINE




INCIDENTS PER HUB




CCCM YEMEN FIRE INCIDENTS REPORT:

Was generated to track fire incidents at site-level, and to identify key sectoral gaps for urgent Coordination with other sectors and clusters, in order to have a better alignment and effective CCCM response. The fire Incident report is rolled out through an Activityinfo form to allow more dynamic editing by partners. the data collection is from 2021-2022


TIME OF INCIDENT




TYPE OF FIRED SHELTER




CAUSE OF FIRE




SEHELTERS DESTROYED




CONDITION OF SHELTER BEFORE THE INCIDENT




LAND AGREEMENT STATUS




FIRE WARDENS IN THE SITE



FIRE DISTINGUISHERS IN THE SITE




WERE THOSE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS USED




Some reasons the Fire extinguishers weren't used

- The shelter is far away from the Fire Safety point
- The fire was controlled and put down using water truck nearby
- Fire warden was not in the site at that moment.
- The fire was controllable
- No phone coverage, couldn't contact the fire Warden to have access to them
- IDPs used sands to put out the fire
- only women were there, they couldn't use the fire extinguisher

DID THE FIRE EXTINGUISHED



DID THE FIRE SPREAD TO OTHER SHELTERS



WERE THOSE FIRE WARDENS TRAINED

