# Cash for Work in Zaatari Camp

## **Basic Needs and Livelihoods Working Group**

As a result of the lack of livlihoods opportunities in Zaatari camp, UNHCR and partners have focused their efforts on providing a significant number of services through Cash for Work (CfW); an initiative in which refugees are renumerated for supporting partner programming in the camp. CfW activities are coordinated by the Basic Needs and Livelihoods Working Group (BNLWG) and partner members, who during 2015 developed CfW guidelines that aim to promote equal CfW opportunities to all refugees in the camp, and improve information management about the active cash for workers by harmonizing the CfW approach of humanitarian actors. To facilitate the continuous development of the CfW guidelines and increase the transparency of CfW activities in the camp, the BNLWG has developed a CfW factsheet. This factsheet is based on the information that humanitarian actors in the camp provide to the BNWG about their CfW activities at the end of each month.

# **Key Figures for August 2016**

Total camp population: 79,884 **Total camp cases:** 19.473

5,526 Total number of cash for workers: 7% Percentage of cash for workers: 5.426 Total number of cases engaged in CfW:

28% Percentage of cases engaged in CfW:

562,250 JD Total amount spent on CfW activities:

Total number of cases with more than one cash for worker: \*\* 33

905 Total number of vulnerable cash for workers:

879 Total number of cash for workers who have vulnerable family member:

12 Number of duplications identified\*:


Number of duplications resolved\*:

\*Duplications indicate that an individual was selected to be engaged in CfW activities by two different organisations during the same period.


\*\* A total of 33 cases had more than one cash for worker during the same time period (Duplication) while 77 cases had more than one cash for worker during the same month but on different dates.

#### District of residence

Percentage of cases engaged in CfW in each district:



Number of cash for workers by camp partners:



## Feedback: Refugee community:

#### In August, CfW was discussed at 4 community gatherings\* held across the camp. The following feedback was provided:

- The community has stated that most CfW programs target men not women .
- Refugees expressed their frustration about favoritism.
- Refugees stated that the CfW complaint mechanism is not clear.
- \* Community gathering are a two-way communication forum between Syrian refugees and camp partners to find solutions to community problems in Zaatari camp. Each gathering hones in on one primary topic.

### Gender of cash for workers

Proportion of cash for workers by gender:



# Position type


Proportion of fixed or rotational positions:



#### Skill level

12

Proportion of cash for work position skill level:




### **Vulnerabilities**

Proportion of vulnerabilities identified for cash for workers and their family members:



### Proportion of cash for work positions by sector:



### Feedback: UNHCR section:

#### In August, UNHCR CfW data management team provided the following feedback regarding CfW activities:

- More quality control was requested as submitted CfW data contain inaccurate and missing information.
- Agencies must adhere to the SOPs in particular engaging more than one cash for worker within the same case.
- UNHCR should be informed immediately when fixed or rotational cash for workers cease to be employed by agencies.

\*All reported figures and analysis on this factsheet are based on the cash for work data submitted by huminitarian actors in Zaatari camp for August, and are therefor not representative of the cash for workers who were employed by agencies who did not submit their data or have submitted incomplete data. Further, the analysis covers the total idividual cash for workers reported as active during August, rathar than the number of positions filled



































