



Minutes of Shelter / NFI / CCCM National Cluster Meeting

10:00 – 12:00, Wednesday, 31st August 2016

UNHCR Office, Yangon

Attendees: Malteser, Trócaire, IOM, ECHO, Qatar Red Crescent, OCHA, DRC, UNHCR Yangon, UNHCR sub-national Shelter/CCCM/NFI Coordinator for Rakhine, UNFPA, UNICEF, Swanyee, ICRC, Internews, HD Centre, ADRA, Medair, NRC & BRAC



Apologies: DFAT & Wash Cluster lead.

Agenda Item	Discussion	Action / Actor / Date
<p>1. Introductions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introductions were made. Particularly a warm welcome to the sub-national CCCM/NFI Coordinator for Rakhine, Richard Tracey. Equally keen to introduce the new IOM CCCM Project Coordinator Chiara Lucchini Gilera (clucchini@iom.int) and the IOM Project Coordinator for Kachin Rafael Abis (rabis@iom.int), the latter in absentia. • Also delighted to introduce Jonathan Bruenggel, the new Field Officer (Coordination) working to support this Cluster and the Protection Sector here in Yangon (BRUENGGE@unhcr.org). Jonathan was formerly working for UNHCR in protection in Kachin State, Bhamo. In addition to the extra capacity that he would bring at national level his field perspective/knowledge was very welcome. 	
<p>2. Clear Minutes & Actions from Previous Meeting</p> <p>a. Update on Flood Response</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minutes of 13th July were discussed; cleared/uploaded to Cluster website: http://www.sheltercluster.org/library/national-cluster-meeting-minutes-2016. • Remaining action points: OUTSTANDING • Camp profiling in Rakhine (action required at Sittwe level); Post for a Camp Profiling Coordinator to be advertised on DRC's website – done; • SOPs for Camp Management Committees – a discussion paper in draft had already been shared and discussed at the last meeting meanwhile SOPs were work in progress; SOPs to be finalized – done; • Rakhine: still a shelter gap of over +US\$1 million, - close to 20,000 IDPs in need of adequate shelter – outstanding; 	




	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CC to share Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring Tool (CPMT) findings on Cluster website and to organize national workshop to discuss results and agree on actions to be taken to improve weak areas – outstanding; • Following on, UNHCR Myanmar seeking support of the Global Clusters on revising/simplifying the (CPMT) tool questions – outstanding; • Camp Profiling Report will be presented in Myitkyina – done & flash drives of the data made available to all participants in <i>this</i> meeting & online (at these three links): http://www.sheltercluster.org/library/individual-camp-profiles-kachin-2016-round-5; http://www.sheltercluster.org/library/individual-camp-profiling-place-origin-maps-and-list-camp-analysis-2016-round-5; http://www.sheltercluster.org/library/individual-camp-profiles-northern-shan-2016-round-5; • Increased interest/requests by the State Authorities in Kachin to receive training from UNHCR and the Shelter/NFI/CCCM Cluster – expenses and funding to be discussed further – outstanding • Regarding the last meeting and the presentations delivered on the situation in Kachin/Shan, there is a HUGE temporary shelter gap. Having advocated for shelter to receive more funds, which has now increased from US\$350,000 to US\$600,000, the Cluster is encouraging/ assisting all relevant, notably local NGOs, to apply for this Myanmar Humanitarian Fund (MHF). Also note that around US\$600,000 likely for the Rapid Response Mechanism (RRM), which frequently includes emergency shelter and NFIs. 	
<p>3. Flood Response</p>	<p>No major verbal updates yet various documents were circulated (see page 4). This led to some discussion on the recent SIMEX in Rakhine State that the National Cluster Coordinator, IOM CCCM Project Coordinator and the sub-national CCCM/NFI Coordinator for Rakhine attended. The consensual view was that while the efforts were welcome and the Rakhine State Government (RSG) attendance impressive, the actual exercise felt too compartmentalised with not enough interaction, particularly between the international community and the State Government. Also some felt that were a real disaster to strike the persons in attendance were not the most relevant persons with whom sectors and Clusters would be engaging from the Rakhine State Government.</p>	



<p>4. Rakhine State</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary Shelter Progress & Remaining Gaps • CCCM ➤ Strategy Workshop ➤ Camp Management Committees (CMCs) ➤ Lighting in Camps ➤ Hygiene Kits 	<p>A timeline, situation report and table were shared at the meeting; the status of shelter repairs and current gaps highlighted. As 61% of repairs are currently funded, with a gap of 39% or US\$1.5 million. Citing verbatim the latest effort (following meetings by the Cluster Lead in Nay Pyi Taw) a request letter was submitted from the Cluster Lead to the Minister of Border Affairs sent 20th July to fill this gap The international community has this year so far committed and is programming over US\$2.5 million. At the request of the Government an additional letter with more details on the needs was sent 23rd August. See adjacent for photos of work in Sittwe T/ship in Ohn Taw Gyi North, South & Dar Paing and Kyein Ni Pyin in Pauktaw T/ship (in descending order).</p> <p>The date for the CCCM Strategy Workshop is to be revised due to visit of the Rakhine Commission. New date to be confirmed – outstanding.</p> <p>Various documents were discussed, not least <i>Electing Representative Camp Management Committees</i> and other key advocacy documents around the massive and persistent challenge of the CMCs. Also obviously stressed that the document had also been shared with State authorities.</p> <p>Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) These have now been disseminated by the Rakhine State Government.¹ There are some reservations expressed explicitly from WaSH Cluster partners, even though consultations with the WaSH Cluster had been conducted. The position from the WaSH Cluster lead was that “while they support these SOPs and think they are a very concrete step forward in the difficult contexts [they] will nevertheless represent our partners’ opinions and the WaSH Cluster Coordination team will consult further with WaSH partners to identify specific grievances and provide feedback as appropriate”.</p> <p>Need for lighting in camps, especially in and around toilets was discussed. WaSH Cluster was unsure of whether lights around the latrines (installation and maintenance) were their responsibility and who would then be responsible for lights around other areas of the camp (CCCM Cluster or Protection Sector?). Agreed that there should be an evaluation of whether lighting only some infrastructure in the camp does not pose a further protection risk. Also, questions were asked as to whether villages have public light or not – outstanding.</p> <p>Again from the WaSH Cluster there is a desire to move away from blanket hygiene kit distributions to more targeted distribution, stressing that there needs to be an advocacy point to the HCT and</p>	  
---	--	--

¹ For partners who would like a copy (with unofficial translation) of the Rakhine State Government’s circulation of the SOPs contact Cluster Lead directly (benson@unhcr.org).



	<p>additionally could they seek CCCM support. Where feasible the CC stressed they can count of the latter.</p>	
<p>5. AOB</p>	<p>On CCCM monitoring of camps and generating monthly reports, IOM announced they had kick-started a process in Rakhine State with the Camp Management Agencies. Noting this concerned baseline data and in accordance with Cluster Lead accountability and transparency concerns, the CC stressed that he was keen to sit down and discuss in more detail with IOM, in person, the specifics of their plans – outstanding.</p> <p>On issues of food security, it was stressed that some CMAs are facing challenging questions at the operational level. It was stressed that by CMAs that they were looking for clarity from WFP, which included possible confusion around different messaging from Rakhine versus the Yangon.</p>	

Documents shared in hard copy with the participants at the meeting or in soft copy to all Cluster partners:

Shelter-NFI-CCCM YGN Cluster Meeting Minutes 13th July 2016

Floods

2016 Floods Field Mission Summary Report, 20th August 2016

2016 Floods Field Mission Summary Table, 20th August 2016

Map of Myanmar Floods, 2nd August 2016

2016 Myanmar Floods-Impact and Response-17th August 2016 (including Shelter/NFI/CCCM)

MRCS Flood Information, 15th July - 18th August 2016

MRCS List of Assistance in Kachin 2016

Rakhine State

Timeframe and draft of support to Rakhine State Socio-Economic Development Planning Process (R-SEDP)

CCCM Information Management Flow (IOM)

CCCM Monthly Monitoring Tool (IOM)

*Mapping Project, 2016**

Shelter Cluster - Timeline of Key Events

Status of Shelter Repairs in Rakhine, 25th August 2016

CMC Reform - Implementation Process, v.1.2

KEY issues relating to Camp Management Committees (CMC)

HCT ADVOCACY NOTE - CAMP MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (CMC) REFORM

SoPs for the Construction of Infrastructure in IDP camps (English Version)



Myanmar Shelter Cluster
ShelterCluster.org
Coordinating Humanitarian Shelter



*As mentioned during the meeting, this paper was produced off the back of some independent research conducted in some of the IDP camps. Having consulted with relevant persons it was agreed that it could be circulated for those interested.