# Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Isingiro District Uganda, August, 2018

### **Background & Methodology**

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

**591** surveys were conducted in Isingiro District between 24 May and 15 June 2018.

### 👬 Demographics

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:

<b>36%</b> 33%	Uganda DRC
17% 14%	Burundi Rwanda

#### % of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:

/* 01 1014900 1110 1				
3% 8%	<6 months	7 - 11 mor	nths	
3% 86%	1 - 2 years	> 2 year	rs	
% of individuals by	age group:			
-	🛉 Host co	mmunity	_ <b>∱</b> + I	Refugees
Girls (0-17)		28%		28%
Boys (0-17)		29%		33%
Adult females (18-5	9) 🗾	21%		18%
Adult males (18-59)		18%		17%
Elderly females (60	+)	2%	1.1	2%
Elderly males (60+)	I	2%	1	2%
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	6.1 mem	bers	5.6 m	embers

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



#### Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
WASH	65%	Food	64%
Food	61%	Shelter	<b>42%</b>
Education	<b>58%</b>	Health and nutrition	39%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.





# Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

Unaccompanied or separated children	T 30%	<del>\∧</del> → 15%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	11%	30%
Individuals with disabilities	11%	36%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	33%	44%

**91%** of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.<sup>4</sup>

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:



Yes No

Yes

No

**38%** of the host community HHs and **34%** of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

# % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns:

Ϋ́Υ Ι	<b>∕</b> (→
81%	79%
83%	72%
67%	74%
	83%

**46%** of the host community HHs and **30%** of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

 OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal.
The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.

4) Refugees are registered in settlements by Uganda's Office of the Prime Minister (OPM).







# Livelihoods & Environment

Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	91%	Agriculture	72%
Casual labour	41%	Casual labour	65%
Small business	38%	Small business	23%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	73%	Free through OPM	74%
Rents the land	26%	Rents the land	20%
Free access	0%	Owns the land	4%

**76%** of refugee HHs and **48%** of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

**6%** of refugee HHs and **4%** of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host con	nmunity		🖈 Refugees	
Lack of	tools	71%	Lack of fertilizer	33%
Lack of	seeds	57%	Poor cultivating season	27%
Land is	inaccessible	43%	Lack of tools/seeds	22%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

İ	Host community		🖈 Refugees	
	Spent savings	43%	Humanitarian aid	67%
	Borrowed money	41%	Support from friends	34%
	Support from friends	23%	Borrowed money	32%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:

Yes

No



69% 31%



Λ→ Refugees

64% Yes 36% No

**36%** of refugee HHs and **48%** of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



% of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

	🛉 Host community	🖈 Refugees
Firewood	85%	83%
Charcoal	13%	17%

**27%** of refugee HHs and **22%** of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.<sup>2</sup>

### $\mathfrak{D}$ Education

**26%** of refugee HHs with school-aged children and **8%** of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host community			Refu	gees
Ť	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	<b>†</b>
12%	22%	3 - 5	49%	51%
4%	1%	6 - 12	14%	15%
10%	10%	13 - 18	28%	36%

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type:

	Host community		🔨 Refugees	
ECD		21%		12%
Primary		<b>58%</b>		59%
Secondary		10%	•	5%
Other <sup>3</sup>	1	4%		0%
Not enrolled	•	8%		26%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
High costs	47%	High costs	<b>48%</b>
The child is too young	41%	The child is too young	43%
Poor conditions	9%	Children must work outside the HH	14%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, **86%** of refugee households mentioned **tuition** while **100%** of the host community HHs reported **tuition** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training



# Health & Nutrition

Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Diarrhoea	31%	Malaria	42%
Malaria	30%	Diarrhoea	24%
Respiratory infection	9%	Stress	19%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **58%** of refugee HHs and **43%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

🛉 Host community		📌 Refugees	
No medicine available	46%	No medicine available	62%
Distance	37%	High cost of medicine	30%
High cost of medicine	28%	Unqualified staff	24%

**20%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

# % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	T	<b>∧</b>
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	92%	92%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	87%	77%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	72%	69%

.

.

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	🛉 Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination	<sup>"</sup> 97%	97%
Measles vaccination	78%	67%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

🛉 Host community	
5	

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

2.2

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.

# 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Surface water	<b>28%</b>	Public tap	64%
Protected rainwater tank	20%	Surface water	15%
Unprotected well	15%	Borehole	14%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	<b>30%</b> 24% <b>46%</b>	> 15 litres
	<b>23%</b> 25% <b>52%</b>	10 - 15 litres
🔨 Refugees		< 10 litres

Average litres of water/person/day is **12** for refugee HHs and **14** for the host community HHs.

**28%** of refugee HHs and **33%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

# Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Use less for bathing	<b>53%</b>	Fetch from further point	68%
Fetch from further point	51%	Use less for bathing	54%
Purchase more water	20%	Drink water reserved	32%
		for other purposes	

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host co	ommunity	📌 Refi	igees
Distance		54%		17%
Queuing	1	4%		44%
Distance and queuing		10%		21%
None		32%		18%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**37%** of the refugee HHs and **21%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

# Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Soap is too expensive	55%	Soap is too expensive	68%
Soap isn't necessary	39%	Waiting for distribution	16%
They prefer a substitute	5%	Soap isn't necessary	13%

6 )The question was asked to HHs that reported not having enough water during the 7 days prior to data collection







### Food Assistance

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Own production	77%	Own production	35%
Bought with cash	<b>21%</b>	Bought with cash	33%
		Food distribution	18%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied more on humanitarian aid (22%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (17%).1

% of HHs (FCS) <sup>2</sup>	with	the	following	Food	Consumption	Scores
(100).						



HH average food consumption score:

Host community

Refugees

50

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1


63

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	100%	100%	73%	89%
Borderline	0%	0%	23%	9%
Poor	0%	0%	4%	2%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:



# **Shelter & NFIs**

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



9% of the host community HHs and 20% of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

Host community Bedding	71%	<b>∱→ Refugees</b> Water storage	72%
Water storage	67%	Kitchen tools	67%
Kitchen tools		Bedding	67%

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org

www.reachresourcecentre.info

