

# RRP Planning 2022-2023

21 July 2021

# Key Updates

- Secondary data review and drafting of thematic papers to improve analysis of current data (access to services, protection risks, socio-economic vulnerabilities)
- Bilateral consultations with key stakeholders have been carried out with 20 partners, including government, UN agencies, NGOs, field offices and donors. Objectives:
  - Identify collective priorities and issues
  - Explore what has worked and not worked well in previously in RRP processes
  - Explore effectiveness of coordination at the national, district and settlement levels
  - Improve linkages between the RRP and GoU (CRRF) Sector Response Plans
- Inter-sector meeting on RRP planning held in June
- Field level situation analysis workshops
  - Yumbe
  - Coming up: Kyangwali (27 July); Arua (3 August); Adjumani (10 August); Mbarara (tbc)

# Preview on what we heard on PLANNING (1/3)





- RRP planning process has been inclusive and consultative in the past
- Increase field engagement, including with local government and provide space for National NGO engagement.
- RRP planning process cannot move forward without fully engaging with the Government led Sector Plans under the CRRF, including district plans at the field level.
- The RRP narrative must link concrete transition steps for specific sectors while aligning the indicators to create synergy. Some partners mentioned having one sector response plan only.
- Consider aligning the timeframe of the RRP with other planning frameworks (CRRF) but revise it regularly.
- We don't have a central place to communicate clear gaps and to troubleshoot collectively. "Overarching priorities are clear, but the devil is in the details and there is little space for partners to communicate this systematically." (see coordination slide for more...)
- Sectors must consider all interventions through a protection lens, especially AGDM (gender, youth, persons with disabilities, mental health.) "The divide between technical responses and protection goals continues to grow."
- "We lack focus on the bigger picture. We are collectively responsible for reducing tensions between communities on all sector interventions to maintain peace."





# Preview on what we heard on COORDINATION (2/3)

- Interagency and intrasector meetings must serve as space for strategic and cross sectoral discussions. The TORs for each are meant for two purposes: strategic discussions and information sharing, but stakeholder expressed that OPM/UNHCR must revive this space immediately. **“Tighten up coordination, as it was during 2017/18 emergency and revisit the TORs with partners to evolve, but we need the space.”**
- CRRF was often mentioned as the overarching framework for the country. As such, partners seek greater clarity and consistent communication on the links between RRP and CRRF sector plans at national and field levels. **“Even at central level, we don’t understand, so it’s even harder to explain to new people.” “At field levels, there is no serious, deliberate (re)engineering to support the longer term approach.”**
- Partners in the field need to be brief on development funding/programmes (“especially DRDIP”) and involved in the development of the programmes. This will ensure better link between humanitarian/development efforts on issues of common interest. **“We see gaps but we are not a part of the conversation.”**
- At the field level, humanitarian partners are perceived to not fully engage with local government/district. OPM/UNHCR must include district officials, and refugee leadership, in settlement level interagency meetings. **“If the goal is to avoid costly parallel service delivery, we can’t run away from the plans that the districts have for the population in their areas.”**
- Consider merging some sectors and ensure an inter sector format exists at field level. **“Too many coordination meetings at the field level”**.

# Preview on what we heard on REPORTING (1/3)

- IM products generated are useful for partners to inform their planning and decision making
- Reporting on refugees by nationality is unnecessarily complicated and needs to be revised **“We are getting tired of having to report for three different populations.”**
- A push for more data driven discussions and analysis. It should be used to support adaptation of the response. More sector analysis needed. Joint analysis, sector analysis and advocacy around critical gaps in funding is needed. **“We do all this work, and have evidence at hand, but we don’t use it enough.”**
- Some partners expressed concern about the parallel reporting systems between OPM and UNHCR. **“Please harmonize the indicators to avoid double work for partners.”**
- Some partners consistently report, other consistently do not, impacting the collectively ability to analyze and be responsive. **“It’s unfair and undermines our collective efforts and credibility. UNHCR should name and shame more directly for non-compliance.”**

Timeline	Draft - RRP Process Step	
<i>Until June</i>	Secondary data review and data analysis	<i>Ongoing</i>
<i>Until May 21</i>	Bilateral consultations with key stakeholders	<i>Ongoing</i>
<i>June 11</i>	RRP Planning Meeting (discussion on secondary data analysis/bilateral consultations, update on RRP planning)	Upcoming
<i>End June to August</i>	<b>Field Level analysis workshops and brainstorming on assumptions and risks</b> 	<i>Ongoing</i>
<i>18-19 August</i>	Multi-Stakeholder Workshop: Part one: Situational analysis workshop, planning assumptions, risks, gaps and for 2021. Part two: Training on Theory of Change 	Upcoming
<i>September</i>	Draft and Review of situation analysis, assumption, risks, gaps and priorities	Upcoming
<i>September /October</i>	<b>Theory of Change and sector consultation discussions</b> 	Upcoming
<i>2 October</i>	Submission of situation analysis for OPM/Higher level review	Upcoming
<i>8 October</i>	<b>RRP Planning Workshop – Updating M&amp;E Framework</b> 	Upcoming

Timeline	Process Step	
30 October	Deadline for submission of draft Sector Strategies, Mainstreaming and Linkages and Sector Logframes for review	Upcoming
5 November	Deadline for Response Management Feedback on draft Sector Strategies	Upcoming
5 November	Launch of Expression of Interest for partners 	Upcoming
12 November	Deadline to Express Interest for Partners 	Upcoming
12 November	Deadline for submitting final sector strategies	Upcoming
15– 16 November	Vetting process and capacity assessment for new partners	Upcoming
17 November	Deadline to provide partners feedback following vetting and capacity assessment	Upcoming
24 November	Deadline for registering partners' appeals 	Upcoming
<b>26 November</b>	<b>RRP Meeting to validate UCRRP</b> 	Upcoming
? November	Submission to the region	Upcoming
<b>TBD</b>	<b>Country launch</b>	<b>Upcoming</b>
Beginning December	Launch of Global Humanitarian Overview	Upcoming

Questions and Thank you!