

National Inter-Sector WG

Date:	14 April 2016
Participants:	IMC, UNHCR, CRS,
Chair:	Alexandra Krause (krause@unhcr.org), UNHCR
Agenda	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Adoption of meeting minutes and review of pending action points 2. Update on RRMRP 3. Update on gaps per sector 4. AoB
Agenda item	Action points
Review of pending action points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sectors to finalize and send their ToRs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Done for most sectors; it will be important to identify the co-coordinators/NGO representatives to participate in the ISWG ○ All Sectors should ensure that protection relevant languages is included in their ToRs, such as age, gender and diversity aspects, protection mainstreaming and two way communication feedback mechanisms • CwC to come up with key messages around breastfeeding, attend Health WG or have a bi-lateral meeting with Health Coordinator on how best to promote key messages <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Save the children working with the Nutrition Sub-WG hired a consultant who is finalizing the messages, discussions have been held and final key messages are awaited soon • UNHCR to call for a Food Working Group meeting within next week <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Done, the Food WG met for the first time on Thursday where the ToRs and the sector response strategy for the RRMRP were discussed • UNHCR CwC team has done mapping of internet services available which will be shared with actors <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Will be updated accordingly, information is shared and has been included in the site profiles • UNHCR to share the content of the NFI kits with participants of the ISWG <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Done • UNHCR to write by 2 April a summary email on the RRMRP on the processes and timelines including the templates and provide presentation at the Inter-Agency Consultation Forum <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Done • UNHCR to bring up the issue of SIM Card and scratch cards in the inter-agency consultation forum <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Done • Cash WG to share list of Minimum Expenditure Basket with the concerned Sector WGs for comments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Done, comments are awaited by sector members • Health WG to provide guidance on how to report or deal with cases of tuberculosis

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Pending ● UNHCR to share “WASH for dummies” power point presentation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Done ● UNHCR to provide update on the system for access to sites <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Done (see below for details) ● SGBV Sub-WG to share findings of the safety audits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ First sites have been visited in the North; reports will need to be shared; ○ share the template of the safety audits with partners ● SMS WG to provide list of site focal points for those who agree that their contacts are shared officially <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Final list will be shared asap, some government focal points have given authorization to share their contacts ● Sector Coordinators to inform their counterparts about the UNHCR/GLC training on coordination and leadership to send names of potential participants to UNHCR (Elena del Fabbro) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ongoing especially for sub-national level ● Sector Coordinators to pass on and reiterate the message for Eidomeni <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ It was again reiterated and confirmed by all ISWG members to avoid creating a pull-factor with providing too many services in Eidomeni; volunteer groups and partners should rather provide services in the new sites ○ UNHCR drafted a document on engagement with regards to the decongestion in Eidomeni; the document will be shared with ISWG members ○ The UNHCR HoFO Attika is requested to meet with the authorities on the evacuation plan for Piraeus port to ensure that the abovementioned criteria are discussed with the harbour authorities and the Ministry of Migration Policy 	
Agenda item	Discussions	Action points
Adoption of meeting minutes	Meeting minutes were shared too late. Final endorsement will be given in writing by Tuesday if no comments are provided.	
Update on the RRM RP	<p>Concerns were raised about the short time frame for the revision; however, due to HQ requirements there is not much leeway in terms of deadlines.</p> <p>All Sector WG had a meeting and discussed the RRM RP with members; the drafting and compiling of information from sector members is ongoing.</p> <p>The cash component is to be separated out in the financial tracking form and to be submitted for the sector(s) for which the cash based intervention is used.</p>	

	<p>The importance to have realistic budgets was highlighted; if the project submissions do not provide realistic budgets, the Plan will lose credibility in the eyes of the donors.</p> <p>The Protection WG raised concerns as the interest of partners to engage in the exercise seems to be limited. Only some few major NGOs provided feedback so far. A separate meeting will be held on Friday with a smaller WG to draft the response strategy for further discussions in the WG on Tuesday.</p> <p>It was clarified that the Education Sector will be separately listed in the RRMRP. The draft strategy will be shared with partners for comments; project submissions should be directly send to Elena del Fabbro and Alexandra Krause. The main objective is to strengthen informal education and Greek language courses and subsequently the inclusion into the national educational system. The Ministry of Education is very active and already looking at how to include refugee children in the system. A number of teachers will be responsible to cover the sites on the mainland for educational support.</p> <p>It was stressed that the participation in the process of the sub-national level is very important including in general information sharing between the national and the sub-national level. All national Sector Coordinators should ensure that their sub-national counterparts are included in their mailing list and vice versa for more timely information sharing.</p>	<p>Partners active in Education should provide feedback and their project submissions to Elena and Alex</p> <p>All national Sector Coordinators should ensure that their sub-national counterparts are included in their mailing list and vice versa for more timely information sharing.</p>
<p>Updates per sector</p>	<p>The question whether or not a separate “Attika” coordination structure is needed at this point was raised; while the Protection WG felt that this might be necessary to adequately reflect the field level issues in and around Attika, other WGs did not foresee a need for this.</p> <p>The Cash WG has started mapping for the 3W.</p> <p>Save the children has been identified as co-chair for the Child Protection Sub-WG. Minimum standards for child protection activities for the closed facilities, urban context and sites is currently being finalized.</p> <p>SMS WG has identified NRC as their co-chair. In the North, the SMS WG is not yet established due to ongoing discussions with the Government; however, several issues would require a dedicated WG; NRC would be willing to chair the WG. For the Attika</p>	<p>The decision if and how a separate field level structure for the Attika region is required should be discussed in all WGs and feedback provided next week to the ISWG.</p>

	<p>region, DRC might be willing to co-chair. For the islands and the West/Central a focal point system is currently set up to lead the WG; the ToRs are currently being finalized.</p> <p>The Food WG had its first meeting and Apostoli might be interested to co-chair as well as Save the children.</p> <p>WASH has established focal points for the North/West: Oxfam and IRC for the North; those partners will be co-chairing the WG. There are important gaps in WASH; a google doc has been set up to monitor in more details activities of the WGs and ensure a timely and easy information sharing between members.</p> <p>The SGBV Sub-WG was created in the North with the Government chairing this group.</p> <p>CwC has identified Solidarity Now as co-chair of the group. The Connectivity Expert of the UNHCR CwC team will need to be replaced as he is leaving. UNHCR key messages on legal aspects on access to asylum awaited.</p> <p>Health was not represented.</p> <p>NFI/Shelter: no update required; the NFI large scale distribution will start this week.</p>	<p>Sector leads are asked to bring to the attention to the ISWG all gaps which cannot be addressed within the WG and require further advocacy by the ISWG.</p> <p>UNHCR to provide summary document with key messages on legal aspects to access asylum to be shared by next week.</p>
<p>AoB</p>	<p>Information management is an important element of the response and for evidence-based decision making. To avoid the proliferation of systems which do not speak to each other, close coordination with the IM unit is required.</p> <p>The Government will call next week for the first High-Level Inter-Agency Steering Committee meeting; however, at this point, only the NGOs who will be funded by ECHO are invited to discuss the division of labour. UNHCR will continue advocating to expand the group to all Head of agencies/NGOs.</p> <p>Volunteers in Ritsona have drafted a “code of conduct”. This document is regarded as best practice and currently being adapted by the SMS WG to share widely afterwards. All Sector WGs should ensure that those minimum standards are included in their ToRs and adhered to by partners. It would be important to highlight the humanitarian principles in particular neutrality and impartiality as some faith based organizations openly link assistance to religion.</p>	<p>UNHCR IM focal point to attend regularly the ISWG. A summary of which systems exist will be provided by the IM focal point by next week.</p> <p>SMS WG to share code of conduct once finalized and share with partners for endorsement.</p>

	<p>The question on interpreters and the quality and training provided to them was brought up. There is a wide range of actors which rely on translation services. However, they are often not appropriately trained and in particular complex terms such as SGBV might not be translated correctly. The issue of confidentiality and to which extent refugees can be used for translation was discussed. All partners agreed that the Government requires a separate pool of trainers for their activities.</p> <p>The site monitoring tool which provides the basis for the site profiles will be reviewed.</p>	<p>All Sector leads to ensure to include translation, if an issue, into their response strategy.</p> <p>Protection WG will draft by 30 April minimum standards for using interpreters differentiating community outreach and other specialized services.</p> <p>Sector WG leads to pass on the information on the revision and encourage partners to send feedback to Elena del Fabbro and Alex Krause.</p>
<p>The next meeting will be held on Friday, 22 April 2016, 8.30 hrs, UNHCR Office, Tagiapiera</p>		