

Protection Working Group Jordan

Protection Working Group Planning workshop

Date: 21st of January 2020, ZENID (JOHUD)

Agencies present: UNHCR, JOHUD, UNFPA, UNWOMEN, Alianza por la Solidaridad, ARCS, AVSI, Care, Collateral Repair Project, CVT, DRC, European Commission Civil & Humanitarian Aid Operations, FCA, HelpAge, HI, IRC, IMC, INTERSOS, IOCC, IOM, IRAP, Medair, NRC, OCHA, Reclaim Childhood, Sawiyan, UMreleif, TDH-L, TDH-Italy, UPP, ARDD, US Embassy.

Next Meeting: 03rd of March 2020 at UNHCR

AGENDA:

- Introduction.
- Jordan Response Plan update.
- Protection situation overview update.
- PWG achievements 2019.
- World Café: Sub working groups/ field WGs updates.
- Strategy and Workplan.

Agenda item	Discussion points	Follow up action and focal point	
Welcome and Introductions			
Objectives	 Reflect on 2019 protection situation, achievements and challenges. Identify strategic directions for 2020-2022. 		
Jordan Response Plan update	 First draft submitted to MOPIC. Total budget for social protection REF: 283,396,211\$ HC: 102,837,606\$ REF ratio refugees total 85%: 100% refugees (registration, RST); 56% refugees (CP) to 98% refugees (Disability, older persons). RES ratio refugees total 30%: 100% Jordanians (NAF, Zakat); 18% support to survivors of trafficking to 48% refugees for community empowerment. JRP DOs and DON'T's: 		



Protection Working Group

	DO:	
	 Review the "Social protection PSS template" to identify the specific activity upper to upper and indicators 	
	specific activity you want to work on and indicators.	
	Select the activity in the PSS then copy and paste back into the	
	template for agencies.	
	Make sure that the indicator matches the activity.	
	 Apply only for funding they expect to receive directly not through UN agencies. 	
	• Provide targets and budgets for three years even if it's	
	estimated.	
	• Targets for three years should be filled properly.	
	Provide reasonable budgets for three years.	
	DON'T:	
	Create new activities or indicators.	
	 Choose indicators that do not match the activities. 	
	 Calculate/merge budget for more than one activity. 	
	 Leave budgets empty. 	
	Leave targets empty.	
	 Exceed the deadline for submission. 	
	Exceed the dedunite for submission.	
Draft protection	- Objective: provide overview of protection situation to inform	Co-Chair to share a
situation overview	programming, strategies and resource mobilization.	draft of the
update	- Desk review of available assessments, with 9 protection actors,	protection
	3 FGDs (Azraq, Zaatari, Amman including non-Syrian refugees).	situation overview
	- Overview drafted by senior protection officer during his mission	once finalized.
	from November-December 2019. Joint product of the PWG,	
	nom November December 2019. Joint product of the 1900,	
	members participated at different level (shared assessments for	
	members participated at different level (shared assessments for	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of existing assessments. This was complemented with key 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of existing assessments. This was complemented with key informant interviews (KIIs) with 9 different protection actors (including both local and international NGOs) and 3 FGDs 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of existing assessments. This was complemented with key informant interviews (KIIs) with 9 different protection actors (including both local and international NGOs) and 3 FGDs (including Syrians and non-Syrians refugees). It is important to 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of existing assessments. This was complemented with key informant interviews (KIIs) with 9 different protection actors (including both local and international NGOs) and 3 FGDs (including Syrians and non-Syrians refugees). It is important to acknowledge that number of refugees consulted was relatively 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of existing assessments. This was complemented with key informant interviews (KIIs) with 9 different protection actors (including both local and international NGOs) and 3 FGDs (including Syrians and non-Syrians refugees). It is important to acknowledge that number of refugees consulted was relatively small because the purpose of the exercise was to summarize 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of existing assessments. This was complemented with key informant interviews (KIIs) with 9 different protection actors (including both local and international NGOs) and 3 FGDs (including Syrians and non-Syrians refugees). It is important to acknowledge that number of refugees consulted was relatively 	
	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of existing assessments. This was complemented with key informant interviews (KIIs) with 9 different protection actors (including both local and international NGOs) and 3 FGDs (including Syrians and non-Syrians refugees). It is important to acknowledge that number of refugees consulted was relatively small because the purpose of the exercise was to summarize info already available in existing assessments. FGD helped the 	
PWG achievements	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of existing assessments. This was complemented with key informant interviews (KIIs) with 9 different protection actors (including both local and international NGOs) and 3 FGDs (including Syrians and non-Syrians refugees). It is important to acknowledge that number of refugees consulted was relatively small because the purpose of the exercise was to summarize info already available in existing assessments. FGD helped the senior protection officer have a sense of the realities faced by refugees. 	
PWG achievements	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of existing assessments. This was complemented with key informant interviews (KIIs) with 9 different protection actors (including both local and international NGOs) and 3 FGDs (including Syrians and non-Syrians refugees). It is important to acknowledge that number of refugees consulted was relatively small because the purpose of the exercise was to summarize info already available in existing assessments. FGD helped the senior protection officer have a sense of the realities faced by refugees. 	
PWG achievements 2019	 members participated at different level (shared assessments for desk review, key informants or hosted FGDs). A lot of different assessments done by various members of the PWG, but no document summarizing key protection concerns. Important for the PWG to have such a document to better inform programming, but also to feed into the development of strategies and resource mobilization. Once finalized, organization who apply for funding can also use this in needs analysis section of their proposals. The draft was mostly done based on a thorough review of existing assessments. This was complemented with key informant interviews (KIIs) with 9 different protection actors (including both local and international NGOs) and 3 FGDs (including Syrians and non-Syrians refugees). It is important to acknowledge that number of refugees consulted was relatively small because the purpose of the exercise was to summarize info already available in existing assessments. FGD helped the senior protection officer have a sense of the realities faced by refugees. 	



Protection Working Group

	- Resource mobilization: 3RP 67% received 3RP REF, 54% received	
	RES.	
	- JHF: 2,100,338 USD (GBV, CP, PWD).	
World Café: Sub	Gender Based Violence Sub Working Group	
working groups/ field	Main protection concerns [GBV]	
WGs updates	• IPV	
	Sexual harassment including online	
	Child Marriage	
	Movement restriction and access to services and economic	
	opportunities	
	•	
	2019 achievements	
	Amaali application for safe referrals and posters	
	Over 200 frontline workers trained on safe referrals	
	Marked 16 days campaign of activism with a joint event	
	attended by 400 participants. A joint calendar was created	
	collecting information on over 200 events covering all 12	
	governorates of Jordan in addition to all 4 Syrian refugee	
	camps (Zaatari, Azraq, EJC and KAP) organized by 24	
	organizations.	
	IEC materials for IWD	
	Coordination training	
	3 GBV IMS analytical products and annual report in Arabic	
	 Localization: 4 active sub national SGBV WG 	
	One HF allocation granted GBV priority and funding	
	Challenges	
	Turnover	
	Delays in gap analysis finalization	
	Poor reporting and planning for JRP/Activity info and FTS	
	2020 priorition	
	2020 priorities	
	Bi-annual strategy development Campaigning	
	 Campaigning Disability inclusion 	
	 Accountability to affected population 	
	Roll out of prevention curriculum	
	Child Protection Sub Working Group	
	2019 achievements	
	Completion of the gap analyses for the first time	
	Advocated with the National Council of Family Affairs (NCFA)	
	and National Protection Team for closer collaboration and	
	exchange of information	
	 Successfully advocated for elected representatives from the CDSWC to take part in the Child Labour Technical group and 	
	CPSWG to take part in the Child Labour Technical group and	
	provide inputs to the development of the SOPs for CL	



Protection Working Group

ang Group	
	 Organised thematic discussions to develop common understanding on issues affecting most members (Child
	marriages, Child labour, Justice for Children, National SOPs
	http://ncfa.org.jo:85/NCFA/sites/default/files/Publications/sop
	s.pdf
	Challenges
	 Weak government involvement in the CPSWG (language barrier)
	Limited social work capacity in line ministries,
	Uncoordinated VAC and early marriage prevention campaigns.
	Azraq Camp
	Main protection concerns:
	 Spontaneous Return: possibility of family separation and
	return without passing by UNHCR offices.
	Early marriage cases.
	Access to services in EJC.
	2019 achievements
	 Increasing protection presence inside V5. Enhanced coordination to achieve swift and appropriate
	responses to emerging needs.
	 Ensuring that V5 residents have access to the services including
	legal services.
	 Sustainable presence of UNHCR (Azraq town & V5 Helpdesks) and EJC.
	 Updated the referral pathway and the work plan in Azraq camp.
	 Coordination with the IBV panel meeting to have economic access for the PoC's with protection concerns.
	Challenges
	Forcible Separation of children.
	Spontaneous Return: Documentation.
	 High turnover of government officials (FPD and EJC).
	 FGD's should be approved by the authorities, which cause a
	delay in the results.
	2020 priorities Enhance Protection monitoring.
	Enhance Protection monitoring.Strengthening AGDM in community structure.
	 Capacity building and supports partners.
	 Enhance the advocacy with the authorities to support the
	vulnerable cases.
	 Involve the community in the process of identifying the
	protection concerns and the process of design and implement the protection interventions and solutions.
	Cash for Protection Task Force
	2019 achievements
	Compiled 4Ws with information from 9 INGOs



Pr	0	te	Ct	l o n
Wo	rk	inc	Gr	auo

orking Group	
	 Developed definitions of cash for protection with its two forms (one-off and recurrent)
	Discussion about eligibility criteria
	 Challenges Participation: high turnover of members Distinction between Cash for Protection and other forms of Cash Assistance (Health, Education) Collection of quality information to reach conclusive outcomes
	 2020 priorities Finalization and endorsement of: Definitions Eligibility criteria Recommendations on amounts, frequency and modalities of cash transfer Harmonization of complementary measures, M&E approaches, and possible development of standardized tools
	Zaatari Protection Working Group Main protection concerns Child marriage Child Labor Access to services for PWDs and the elderly Sexual harassment, including around schools Lack of livelihoods opportunities Spontaneous returns without protection assessments especially for children
	 2019 achievements Update of the list of participants, focal points and back up focal points to the PWG Updated 5Ws from all protection agencies (individually) Finalizing the road-map for 2020, what themes to be discussed and what sort of supporting information should be achieved. Discussion with partners on PSEA (followed by trainings for partners) Discussion, update and feedback on complaints mechanisms FGD on sexual harassment around the schools (all members took part – good practice)
	Challenges Irregular participation of members Funding gaps to address key PRT challenges Cultural acceptance of many PRT issues Lack of coordination b/w sectors
	2020 priorities



king Group		
	 Find innovative, impactful and relevant mechanisms through which all the Protection conserves can be identified, discussed and resolved on the level of the PWG in Zaatari Updating Referral Pathways Establishing/strengthening complaint mechanism (SOPs, list of FPs, contacts) Increase awareness on PSEA (among the agencies working on the ground, within refugee community and authorities) Addressing together violence at schools, child marriage, child labour, full participation of refugees, empowerment of women and girls, discussing SGBV with boys and men. 	
	MHPSS	
	2019 Achievements	
	 Better connection with other protection actors. 	
	 Last year: workshop on how to improve the reporting. 	
	Priorities	
	because of the overlapping issues.	
	 Try to find ways to measure the MHPSS. 	
	Challenges	
	Need for coordination with other WGs like education.	
	 Need for engaging CBOs and national organizations in addition 	
	to families and institutions.	
	 No comprehensive assessment available of the needs. 	
PWG strategic priorities and workplan	 Objective 1 – Enhance protection of the rights of refugees and other affected population. Objective 2 – Strengthen protection monitoring, needs analysis 	 Co-chair to share workplan once finalized
	and advocacy.	
	Objective 3 – Enhance compliance with global protection	
	standards within protection service provision	
	Objective 4 – Enhance protection mainstreaming within other	
	sectors	
	Groups' feedback according to the questions: Group 1:	
	Main protection concerns and current challenges:	
	- Suspension of registering non-Syrians. In January 2019 UNHCR	
	stopped registering non-Syrians which lead to the concern of	
	right to seek asylum.	
	- Right to livelihood especially for non-Syrians.	
	 Right to education mainly for nationalities other than Syrians 	
	and Iraqis: one of the challenges for refugees is having an	
	annual residency and children to be registered in schools.	
	 Access to health and access to mental health and PSS. 	



Working Group	
	 Keeping the Right to documentation. Lack of documentation
	can cause stateless population and unregistered population.
	- Right to childhood: implementation of child marriage law and
	child labour and negative coping mechanism.
	Priority actions:
	- To have more clear data collection and data sharing.
	 More analytical paper and guidance on WG.
	 To continue advocacy with authorities and government
	regarding one refugee approach.
	 Working closely with MoE and line ministries to get approvals
	on projects.
	- More standardized cooperation. More cooperation and
	coordination between sectors and working groups and sharing
	information. And sending the updates of the ISWG.
	- Involve international committee more in sharing the burden.
	Group 2:
	Concerns:
	 Inclusiveness of all refugees including non-Syrian refugees in
	need assessments, risk mapping, and services.
	 Engaging the community through advocacy messages.
	- Collaborating with the Jordanian authorities.
	 Tools and knowledge sharing with local and community-based
	 organizations. Providing capacity building and updates on SOPs.
	- Data protection.
	Priority actions:
	 Protection strategy should be developed for Jordan; Protection
	monitoring and tools collection of data and analysis; mapping
	of protection services available in the country; prioritizing.
	groups at risk that need a response – and what this response
	should be.
	- Develop common messages for the community to enhance
	protection monitoring.
	- Develop an advocacy paper with the government.
	 Feeding back the protection monitoring results to the PWG
	members.
	 Develop a monitoring task force – collect all the existing
	mechanisms of the organizations through which monitoring is
	undertaken and develop one common monitoring tool through
	which info and data will be collected on certain protection
	issues.
	Key Protection Concerns:
	- The availability of services (including services for PWD).
	 Acquiring approvals especially for livelihood interventions.



working Group	
	 Social inclusion; discrimination against certain refugee
	populations including Somali and Sudanese refugees.
	 The availability of laws that are pro refugees especially for non-
	Syrian refugees.
	 Bullying in schools and physical punishment by teachers
	(difficulty to report these cases especially in certain areas
	where there is a clan culture).
	 Child protection issues specifically child marriage requiring
	more engagement of the authorities.
	 Women empowerment; the need to build the capacities of
	protection practitioners on GBV protection issues.
	 The availability of mental health services.
	 Early childhood interventions especially for children with
	disabilities. The persistence of cultural limitation.
	Group 3
	Current challenges and concerns:
	- Restrictions of movement for Non-Syrian refugees and
	stateless.
	- No access to services.
	 Barriers of accessing justice and legal aid for refugees.
	 National SOPs are not in line with the international and global
	standards.
	Priority actions
	- Add another category: Women and girls at risk, PWD and
	LGBTIQ.
	- Strengthen protection monitoring.
	- Capacity building on the new global standards.
	- Initiate interactive discussions with the Government.
	Group 4:
	Key protection risks:
	- Adding age and disabilities in the disaggregation.
	- Adding LGBTIQ under protection – debate on sensitivities
	under SGBV.
	- Ensuring access to registration for non-Syrian refugees.
	- Lack of adequate social welfare (Jordanians).
	- Restricted access to social protection services and livelihood.
	- Difficult social-economic situation is increasing risks of SGBV
	and the limited access to livelihood opportunities for survivors
	- Risk #5: - at the end add - Human trafficking [consist of
	migrants and refugees).
	- Risk #6: Replacing "tension" with a Lack of social cohesion
	between refugees themselves, and the host community.
	Current challenges:

Protection

Working <u>Group</u>	 Other sectors aren't familiar with Protection Mainstreaming and risk mitigation measures for their own sectors. Protection mainstreaming should be included in the design of the project, and approval by donors. Low implementation rate of action plans due to the high turnous of capacitated staff.
	turnover of capacitated staff. Priority actions:
	 Quarterly protection coaching sessions Quarterly review for the inter-sector working group

	turnover of capacitated staff.	
	 Priority actions: Quarterly protection coaching sessions Quarterly review for the inter-sector working group Have referral pathways and include how to safely refer refugee protection service in one of the PWG training. Training/Briefing sessions for donors (Guidelines and/or scorecards) A survey for refugees: meaningful access to services. 	
Wrap-up	 Feedback on structure of protection working group meetings and overall workplan: 	
	 Keep doing: Advocate for LGBTIQ. Keep presentations interactive and brief. Keep local NGOs as a co-chair. Keep the good practice. Keep focusing on one refugee approach. 	
	 Stop doing: Stop doing the while meetings in English. Repetitions from previous meetings. 	
	 Start doing: Protection mainstream with other sectors. Review current SoPs for CP and SGBV. Include Resettlement updates. Share updates from the ISWG. Add updates of the disability TF. 	
АОВ	 Tayba Sharif, the new senior protection officer and the new co- chair from UNHCR <u>sharif@unhcr.org</u> Next PWG will take place on the 03rd of March 2020 at UNHCR. 	