




SECTOR LEADS/ISCG Weekly Meeting

Meeting Details	
Date	6 10 2022 - Next meeting TBA
Time	11.00 – 12.00
Chair	Diego Nardi, IA Coordinator, UNHCR
Reporting	Julian Pack, IA Reporting Officer, UNHCR
Email	mdachrcf@unhcr.org
Agenda	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 2023 RRP - Sector Consultations Guidance• AOB	
Information collection and relevant links	
Moldova operational data portal: https://data2.unhcr.org/en/situations/ukraine/location/10784	
Refugee Coordination Forum August Calendar: RCF Moldova Meetings Calendar	
 2023 RRP Sector Consultation Guidance	
Participants	



Diego Nardi, IAC Officer, UNHCR	Yurii Arnautov, IM WG Lead, UNHCR	Andrea Cuisana, A/T co-lead, UNHCR	Maura Reap, MHPSS, WHO
Teodora Zafiu, I&L Sector Co- lead, UNDP	Yigit Anil Gurer, Cash WG lead, UNHCR	Andrew Painter, PWG lead, UNHCR	Daria Barros, UN Women
Katie Lampe, CP SWG Co- Lead/Co-Lead Education, UNHCR	Irina Gincu, UNICEF	Martina Bogdova, GBV, UNHCR	Dragan Markovic, UNICEF
	Stavros Zotos, PSEA Co-lead, Plan International	Bahodur Umarov, FSSWG, WFP	Sean Sager, UNHCR
		Amanda Thurler, A/T co-lead, ACTED	Julian Pack, IAC Team, UNHCR

Summary of discussions and agreements / action points

Agenda	Discussion	Agreements/ Actions
<p>Agenda Point 1 2023 RRP - Sector Consultations Guidance Diego Nardi, IA Coordinator, UNHCR nardid@unhcr.org</p>	<p>The presenter gave the main objective of the meeting as a progress check on how the sector consultations were proceeding. The following consultative workshops are scheduled, and about which sector leads gave some comments on progress:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monday, 10 October: accommodation & transport including WASH and food security; some details on participation are still to be worked out. It was noted that the three entities should combine more often. Food security commented that food provision outside of the RACs is not represented. • Tuesday, 11 October: Protection sector, including child protection, GBV—TFs/SWGs. There are discussions regarding inclusion of education: this will be discussed further at the Education WG on 7 October. The PWG will take a working-group format then plenary session. • Wednesday, 12 October: Livelihoods & inclusion. 	<p>Activity: Template will be shared, invitation sent out. Responsible: Diego Nardi, IA Coordinator, UNHCR nardid@unhcr.org Deadline: 10 October</p>



- AAP and PSEA, will make a joint consultation with the partners to discuss next year's indicators. No date yet.
- **Friday, 7 October:** The health sector will have a consultation with women-led organizations that is being coordinated between the gender task force, the interagency coordination team and the GBV Sub-working group.

(Dates of consultative workshops will be shared with Joint Crisis Management Centre.)

IAC team is systematizing the findings and finalizing the report of the **local level consultations** made over the last two weeks in different localities, consulting over 100 people from local public authorities, local NGOs, refugees, international and national NGOs. REACH has been the organization that has made the sector needs assessment with the support of UNICEF and UNHCR and they are now finalizing the area-based assessment (ABA). The preliminary data has been shared with the IAC team and with sector coordinators, but IAC requested space of 15' to make a presentation with REACH (James Whittaker), to offer guidance and also explain the 'whats' and 'whys' of the RP and to manage expectations, in particular regarding funding. Activities should be by district (not countrywide); cross-cutting & inter-sectorial activities possible; capacity building; MHPSS activities and indicators; AAP/PSEA; Cash (MPCA, under WG but partners can submit, just to note frequency—instalment or one-off, etc.). Re MHPSS, make sure Maura is included in consultations.

From the consultative workshops, Diego Nardi urged it was very important to have the final list of activities and indicators, which can be finalized and to have a one- or two-page document produced on what is expected during the project submission phase.

Maura Reap (WHO) suggested her own participation in the health sessions and should confer with the sector lead to that end. For MHPSS planning figures so far seems too low (<10%) - Maura to source global guidance planning figures and ratios.



2023 Planning Figures considered as 200,000 refugees in Moldova, broken down by age, gender and disability. The host community (HC) is considered as 180,000, in areas hosting 5% or more of the total refugee caseload in the country, and those who the national statistical figures consider as living below extreme poverty line. The idea that HCs are 90% of the refugees' figures is quite exceptional; it should be remembered that over-exaggerating HC numbers in projects will not fly and projects must be realistic to the capacities locally available. This is a way of ensuring no duplication and the efficient usage of resources. Proposed projects should try to provide the breakdown of the PIN of the sectors, by location in the country.

Consideration of GBV is important. The calculation of the GBV indicator is not based on the indicator that was provided by the sector, but is based on perception. Perceived sexual security concerns and related concerns to women and girls and it can be considered that one in three women/girls will experience some form of GBV during their lifetime; during a displacement situation, the proportion can well increase. Therefore, it is being calculated as around 35% of the total female refugee population in the country. All persons with disability, females with disabilities, as well as male children, are included.

This is important to be presented on the sector consultations, so partners have an overview of what are the planning figures that they should consider when submitting projects, as well as for the scope of the work next year. HCs will not be targeted barring a few exceptions coming from special cash interventions; and community-level work support for local systems, local services and so on. If partners are doing NFI distributions, cash support, shelter kits and so on, it is important to keep the ratio between refugees and HCs, usually at 30 or 40%; 90% is possible, but again it is also important to have a clear justification from the programme perspective of why those individuals are affected by the refugee response and being affected by the refugee response, which is different from being affected by the war and the consequences of war, shortages on supply, electricity



crisis and so on. The PIN figure dictates the response; if response for HCs is set too high, donors may question this; however, with local integration and social cohesion projects this will be justifiable. Diego Nardi mentioned that as the MSNA does not have many indicators regarding GBV and the refugee population, so use global guidance.

Stavros Zotos (Plan International) noted that as Moldova is a transit country, the instance of GBV could be higher, large numbers of single mothers, etc., so recommended figure be higher. This can be followed up on at the sector level.

Number of entries in Moldova, is 700,000; however, some of these entries are more pendular movements, others are people who entered by car and moved directly to other European countries. We PINs higher than the total of 200,000 will not be considered; this follows discussion at the regional level.

Template will be shared. On 10 October, invitation will be sent out.

2023 RRP Timeline October

